

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION
STAFF
GEORGE PROAKIS, PLANNING DIRECTOR
LORI MASSA, SENIOR PLANNER
ADAM DUCHESNEAU, PLANNER
DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
FREDERICK J. LUND, SENIOR DRAFTSMAN

Case #: ZBA 2011-06 **Date:** March 3, 2011

Recommendation: Conditional Approval

PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 65 Beacon St

Applicant Name: Beacon Court Realty Trust

Applicant Address: 24 365 Broadway, Everett, MA

Property Owner Name: same as applicant **Agent Name**: Richard G. Di Girolamo

Agent Address: 425 Broadway, Somerville, MA

Alderman: Maryann Heuston

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant & Owner Beacon Court Realty Trust seeks a Special Permit with Site Plan Review under §7.11.1.(c) and §13.5 for density bonus for affordable housing to convert an office building into 15 units of two-bedroom housing with two commercial units on the first floor. The Applicant & Owner also seek a special permit under §4.4.1 to alter a nonconforming structure.

Zoning District/Ward: Residence C / 2

Zoning Approval Sought: Special Permit w/ Site Plan Review §7.11.1.c, & Special Permit §13.5

Date of Application: January 10, 2011

Dates of Public Meeting • Hearing: Planning Board 3/3/11 • Zoning Board of Appeals 3/16/11

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1) <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property is an approximately 12,495 sf lot on Beacon Street at the corner of Buckingham Street between Inman Square and the intersection with Washington Street. There is an existing four story structure on the site with parking below ground and in the rear of the first story. The structure and its garage cover much of the site, leaving small landscape areas in the front and right side setbacks.

CITY HALL • 93 HIGHLAND AVENUE • SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02143 (617) 625-6600 Ext. 2500 • TTY: (617) 666-0001 • FAX: (617) 625-0722

Page 2 of 10

Date: March 3, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-06 Site: 65 Beacon St

The Zoning Board of Appeals initially approved permits for a project at this site in the 1988 and 1990 (permit #1988-61 and 1990-59) to construct a four story commercial building on the site. Originally, the building was anticipated to be an office condominium project for general and medical office space. The building was designed with a residential look, because there was originally neighborhood concern about an office building on this site. Therefore it was designed to look like it could be office or residential in character. The building is built from brick and vinyl siding, and except for the commercial front entryway, it is a building that could be mistaken for a residential structure. The building was constructed in 1988.

After completion, the Cambridge Health Alliance (CHA) occupied much of and eventually all of the building. Recently, CHA vacated the building along with the property it leased further down Beacon Street, choosing to concentrate their operations at fewer sites. Many of the activities in this building were moved to the newly updated Somerville Hospital site. This move left the structure completely vacant.

2. <u>Proposal:</u> The Applicant proposes to convert the upper three levels of the building to provide 15 two-bedroom residential units, each around 1000-1100 square feet, which are intended to be sold as condominiums. The proposal also includes two small (approx 875 sf) commercial spaces that can be used as small office or retail establishments. These establishments will be on the first floor on each side of the front entry to provide more activity along Beacon Street while providing a welcoming streetscape in front of the building.

The underground garage will be used to supply all parking for the residents of the 15 units. The surface parking under the building at grade will be used for visitor parking, and parking for the commercial units. Some of the rear parking will be converted to a planting / sitting area.

Exterior changes are limited to a redesign of the first floor front façade to accommodate commercial units along the street, adjustment of the front entry way and front landscaping, addition of the landscape area in the rear parking area, and removal of three windows on the side façades to address the interior unit layout.

The initial building occupies 55% of the lot, and sits on a concrete pad supporting the underground garage that covers more lot area then just the building. Therefore, the removal of some parking in the rear will allow for some outdoor activity area. A portion of this area is still under the building, but some is open to the air. But, because this area sits on the pad of the parking garage below, landscaping is limited to planters in boxes, as there is no soil to support landscaping below.

The building will have no height or area increases. Exterior modifications are limited to the Beacon Street façade at the first level to introduce storefronts and a signboard/overhang and to provide an approach to front entrances which complies with MAAB requirements.

3. <u>Nature of Application:</u> The proposed use requires a special permit to establish fifteen dwelling units (§7.11.1.c). The application also requires a Special Permit under §13.5 for bonus affordable housing units.

The conversion of the building to multiple dwelling units requires a special permit. Because the existing structure conforms to existing dimensional requirements, no special permit is required for building dimensions.

The lot area per dwelling unit in this zone allows for 1 unit per 1000 square feet when building 10 or more units. Therefore, on this 12,495 square foot lot, only 12 units are allowed. The existing structure is quite large, so a design with only 12 units would result in average unit sizes over 1400 square feet. This would be an inefficient use of the existing space in a building that is bulkier than a typical residential structure.

Therefore, the applicant is requesting to use the portion of the SZO (Section 13.5) that allows for additional units if additional affordable units are provided. This provision allows an applicant to provide two additional market rate units beyond those otherwise allowed, if an additional affordable unit is also provided.

Projects with 12 units would typically require 2 units to be designated as affordable (12.5% of units would be 1.5 units total, and this number is rounded up, therefore 2 are required). The applicant will provide a third unit, in order to seek approval for a total of 15 units on the site.

Otherwise, the building complies with existing ground cover, FAR, parking, setbacks and uses, except being deficient in landscape area. The structure will remain in compliance with these regulations, and will also provide for compliant bicycle parking. The landscape area is 17% of the lot area currently and is noted by the applicant to be slightly less than this when the project is complete. The applicant is being asked to review the definition of landscaping and to include paths to new commercial entrances in this calculation, as is allowed by the SZO. Staff believes that total landscape area, per the SZO, will be

greater upon completion of the project as some of the rear parking will be converted to a planting / sitting area for at least eight people.

- 4. <u>Surrounding Neighborhood:</u> The surrounding neighborhood is comprised of two-, three-, and multifamily homes as well as commercial uses. Buckingham Street is mostly two- and three-family wood framed homes, while Beacon Street is a mix of residential and commercial properties.
- 5. <u>Impacts of Proposal:</u> The proposal is consistent with surrounding properties. Planning Staff hope that small businesses can occupy the two units on the first floor to create a ground floor façade that is more pedestrian friendly than the existing design with a central entrance for all of the medical offices. The

development has adequate parking and services. The structure is adequate size to convert to 15 residential units and has ample parking.

Page 4 of 10

Date: March 3, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-06 Site: 65 Beacon St

Outdoor space on the site is somewhat limited for a project with residential units. While this was less of a concern in a commercial project, the applicant is seeking to address the issue by incorporating some outdoor landscape area in the existing parking area behind the structure.

6. <u>Green Building Practices:</u> The Applicant did not identify green building practices for this case.

7. Comments:

Fire Prevention: Has been contacted but has not yet provided comments.

Traffic & Parking: Has been contacted but has not yet provided comments

Ward Alderman: Alderman Heuston has been contact but has not yet provided comments.

Design Review Committee: The Applicants attended the DRC meeting on January 29, 2011. The Committee expressed concern with the proposed redesign of the first floor and how it did not integrate well with the design of the cover over the front door which was due to remain as it had been in the commercial structure. Conversation surrounded the issues with changing the materials around the front door. The applicant retuned to DRC with a few options for changing the doorway and first floor details at the meeting on February 24, 2011. At the conclusion of this meeting, the DRC members expressed support for one of the options, but indicated that they would like the doors and related glass plane that surrounds them replaced with something more up to date. Otherwise, some members expressed concern that the overall look of the building was more reflective of the era in which it was built than the current day, and could use more updating. But, there was general agreement that such updating would be quite difficult to do, and is not necessitated by the condition of the façade.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §7.11.1.c and 13.5):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards</u>: The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering this case, the applicant has an existing structure that complies with all dimensional requirements except for landscape requirements, and the applicant is seeking special permit with site plan review. The submitted design complies with residential dimensional requirements except for the existing landscaping deficiency, and meets requirements for lot area per unit with the bonus units under SZO Section 13.5.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

Page 5 of 10

Date: March 3, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-06 Site: 65 Beacon St

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to conserving the value of land and buildings and encouraging the most appropriate use of land throughout the City. The property is located in a Residence C (RC) zoning district. The purpose of the district is "to establish and preserve a district for multi-family residential and other compatible uses which are of particular use and convenience to the residents of the district."

The residential units and small commercial establishments complies with the intent of having multi-family residential and related uses in the area and the design generally supports a walkable neighborhood.

While the Planning Division remains concerned about any application that moves commercial property into residential use, this particular case is unique, and therefore is consistent with the purpose of the ordinance and the goals of the city. In this case:

- The property is not in a location that is targeted in the long term for serving as an office center. It is in the middle of a residential community where first-floor commercial development is typical, but residential use is more consistent with surrounding uses.
- The applicant is retaining first floor commercial uses and designing them in a way that allows the structure to be more interactive with the pedestrians on the street than the existing design
- The structure retains a residential look, and is located on a corner lot typical of the location of multi-family residential structures that transition between mixed-use arterial streets and neighborhood residential streets. The structure works well as a residential building
- 4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The project is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area. The building has a residential character that was a part of its original design, so it would complement the surrounding residential neighborhood. The proposed project enhances the first floor of the building, without making any substantial changes to the rest of the structure. While the structure could benefit from a more significant façade update, it will remain compatible with the neighborhood by remaining in its current state, and all proposed renovations to allow for ground-floor commercial uses will enhance the appearance of the building.

5. <u>Adverse environmental impacts</u>: The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels now experienced from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

No such impacts are anticipated

6. <u>Vehicular and pedestrian circulation:</u> The circulation patterns for motor vehicles and pedestrians which would result from the use or structure will not result in conditions that create traffic congestion or the potential for traffic accidents on the site or in the surrounding area.

The site will continue to have the same vehicular circulation as exists today. Two new pedestrian entrances, for the first floor commercial units, will be established along Beacon Street. The residential use will generate less traffic than the commercial activity previously on the site, and there will be no new safety concerns from this conversion.

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS 13.5

In determining if the applicant is entitled to the bonus units under Section 13.5 the bonus application must meet the following standards: The additional affordable units provided shall continue to be offered at the rate of not less than fifty percent (50%) affordable to lower income range households and the remainder affordable to moderate income range households, as stipulated in Section 13.3.4. Any bonus may be awarded only by the SPGA, and shall not exceed twenty percent (20%) of the number of units normally permissible under the lot area per dwelling unit requirements of Article 8 and Article 16 of this Ordinance. This incentive shall not apply in Residence A or Residence B zoning districts.

In addition, the findings below must be met:

- a. The affordable units provide housing to households with children: The applicant is proposing two bedroom units in this project. All three affordable units will be two bedroom units. While most two-bedroom market rate units do not support families with children, an affordable two-bedroom unit can be and probably will be able to support a family with one or two parents and at least one child. The applicant will work with housing staff to address this issue when completing affordable housing agreements and marketing the unit.
- b. The affordable units provide rental units: The development is a development of condominium units, and the applicant does not intend to retain and rent any affordable units. Therefore, the current plan is to put the affordable units up for sale. In order to address this finding, the planning and housing staff is requiring the applicant to offer the opportunity for any or all of the affordable units to be sold to a local non-profit that manages affordable housing, and for the affordable units to be managed as affordable rental units.
- c. Analysis of the financial feasibility of the project demonstrates that award of bonus market-rate unit(s) will in part finance the affordable unit(s) such that there need not be full reliance on public subsidies to support rent payments for the affordable unit(s), regardless of whether such subsidies are available: The applicant does not intend to pursue rental subsidies for the affordable units.
- d. The proposed development site plan is designed in its site location, proportions, orientation, materials, landscaping and other features as to provide a stable and desirable character, complimentary and integral with the site's natural features and neighborhood context: The site plan has been designed to address the circumstances of the site conditions and the existing building and therefore complement the neighborhood context. As the structure has been located in this neighborhood for many years, the site is generally surrounded by residential properties, and
- e. Such development is generally consistent with the purposes of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and the density increase or relaxation of zoning standards has no material detrimental effect on the character of the neighborhood: The project otherwise meets the requirements of the SZO, it is allowed by special permit in the RC district, and the relaxation of the standards merely allows this already-completed structure to be filled with a number and size of units that will work for this building.
- f. The proposed development is consistent with relevant municipal plans and objectives: The project assists with the need for affordable housing, ensures that this structure remains occupied, and continues a commercial presence on the first floor of Beacon Street. This strategy is consistent with plans and objectives for housing in the City of Somerville.

Page 7 of 10

Date: March 3, 2011

Case #: ZBA 2011-06

Site: 65 Beacon St

Based upon these findings, the applicant can proceed to the Planning Board for a recommendation for these special permits. At the time of this publication the AHIP was signed by the Housing Division staff and will be signed by the applicant before the Planning Board meeting. The applicant, Planning Division staff and Housing Division staff will ensure that the AHIP adequately addresses the findings above and includes the conditions necessary to comply with the findings. If included in the AHIP, these items do not need to be separately addressed through conditions of approval.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

Special Permit under $\S 7.11.1.c$ and $\S 13.5$ and $\S \ 4.4.1$

Based on the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT.**

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
1	Approval is to alter a nonconforming structure to convert the existing structure for 15 residential units and two commercial units, with associated landscaping and site improvements. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	Jan 10, 2011	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	February 24, 2011	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD marked DRC 02/24/11 including 15 residential units and incorporating "Elevation Option 3"			
	Any changes to the approved site plan, or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				
2	Any transformers and/or other mechanical equipment should be located as not to impact landscaped areas and shall be fully screened.		Electrical permits &CO		
3	Applicant shall submit mat elements of the new front f adjust the front façade plan front door and related glass materials for this detail sha approved by Planning Staff	BP	Plng.		
4	Applicant shall submit material and color samples for the replacement windows and the replacement feature that blocks the covered window openings in the side facades. These materials shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff.		Building Permit	Plng.	
5	and seating for at least eigh	andscaping in planter boxes at people on benches or at submit this landscape plan	BP	Plng.	

	The applicant shall complete the AHIP that shall	Perpetual	Housing
6	include all necessary conditions to address the findings		Division / Plng.
	for 13.5, as listed above, and shall conform to its		
	provisions. The applicant shall complete the affordable housing agreement and remain in		
	compliance with its provisions		
	All construction materials and equipment must be	During	T&P
7	stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is	Construction	
	required, such occupancy must be in conformance		
,	with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform		
	Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the		
	Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	CO	Dluc
8	To the extent possible, all exterior lighting must be		Plng.
	confined to the subject property, cast light downward and must not intrude, interfere or spill onto		
	neighboring properties.		
	The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing	СО	DPW
	equipment (including, but not limited to street sign		
	poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal		
9	equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc)		
	and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the		
	subject property if damaged as a result of construction		
	activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		
	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP
10	Bureau's requirements.		
	Landscaping should be installed and maintained in	Perpetual	Plng. /
11	compliance with the American Nurserymen's		ISD
	Association Standards.		
	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be	Perpetual	ISD
	responsible for maintenance of both the building and		
12	all on-site amenities, including landscaping, fencing,		
	lighting, parking areas and storm water systems,		
	ensuring they are clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.		
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign off	Plng.
	working days in advance of a request for a final		
13	inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the		
	proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans		
	and information submitted and the conditions attached		
	to this approval.		

