

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA # 2011-21

Site: 201 Powder House Boulevard Date of Decision: May 4, 2011

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk:** May 16, 2011

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Owens Corning Basement Finishing System (Dan Walsh)

Applicant Address: 60 Shawmut Road, Canton, MA 02021

Property Owner Name: Andy & Nicole Mueller

Property Owner Address: 201 Powder House Blvd, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

Legal Notice: Applicant Dan Walsh and owners Andy and Nicole Mueller, seek a

Special Permit under SZO §4.4.1 for relief under the provisions of SZO §8.5.E. to finish a portion of the basement to add a family room to an

existing two-family residence. RA zone. Ward 7.

Zoning District/Ward:RA zone/Ward 7Zoning Approval Sought:§4.4.1 & §8.5.EDate of Application:April 4, 2011Date of Decision:May 4, 2011May 4, 2011May 4, 2011

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2011-21 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on May 4, 2011. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: May 12, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-21 Site: 201 Powder House Blvd.

DESCRIPTION:

The Applicant would like to renovate a portion of the existing basement to create a family room for use by the property owner/resident of the structure. The proposed finished portion of the basement would add approximately 630 square feet of habitable space to the structure. The side walls of the basement would be finished with the Owens Corning Finishing System and steel studs, and a fire rated wall would separate the proposed living room from the storage area that would be retained in the rear of the basement. Additionally, a drop ceiling would be installed around the support beams of the structure in the proposed finished portion of the basement.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board does not find that the alterations proposed would be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promoting "the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to provide adequate light and air; to conserve the value of land and buildings; and to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City."

The existing structure is a pre-existing nonconforming structure that is consistent with the purpose of the RA district. The district is designed to "establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts." While the structure does not meet the dimensional or the FAR requirements of the district, it is typical in size and setbacks to typical homes in the RA district. Furthermore, the renovations to the existing basement will not require any changes to the existing exterior of the structure.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

No impacts are anticipated from the finishing of the existing basement to create a family room.

5. <u>Adverse environmental impacts:</u> The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels snow experienced form uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

www.somervillema.gov



Date: May 12, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-21 Site: 201 Powder House Blvd.

No adverse impacts are anticipated from this project. All proposed work and elements to be added as part of the project will be in the basement of the structure. Furthermore, no additional bathroom fixtures will be added to the existing structure and all new lighting will be interior as well.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans, Scott Darling and Josh Safdie. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for relief under the provisions of SZO §8.5.E. to finish a portion of the basement to add a family room to an existing two-family residence. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	(March 4, 2011)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	(April 15, 2011)	Plans submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved site plan that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				
2	The structure shall remain a two-family house, and no additional dwelling units shall be added		Cont.	ISD	
3	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		Final Sign Off	Plng.	





Date: May 12, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2011-21 Site: 201 Powder House Blvd.

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, Acting Chairman Richard Rossetti, Acting Clerk T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Evans Josh Safdie (Alt.)
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's of Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed reconstruction SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE Any appeal of this decision must be filed within two	enty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the
	ance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the
Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such a	clapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner difficate of title.
bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twer Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal have recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's	special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision aty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the as been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly t will reverse the permit and that any construction performed

recorded. This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on in the Office of the City Clerk, and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied. FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or there has been an appeal filed.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly



under the permit may be ordered undone.

