

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA # 2012-10 Site: 16 Chester Street

Date of Decision: June 20, 2012

Decision: <u>Petition Denied</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: July 3, 2012

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Michael Dull & Emily Dull

Applicant Address: 26 Summit Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Michael Dull

Property Owner Address: 26 Summit Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant, Michael Dull and Emily Dull, and Owner, Michael Dull,

seek a special permit for alteration to a nonconforming structure under SZO §4.4.1 to modify a window to add living space in the basement and a special permit to modify parking requirements under §9.13.a to

waive the requirement for one additional parking space.

Zoning District/Ward:

Zoning Approval Sought:

Date of Application:

RB zone/Ward 6

§4.4.1 & §9.13.a

January 30, 2012

Date(s) of Public Hearing: 2/29, 3/14, 4/4, 4/18, 5/2, 5/16, 6/6 & 6/20/12

<u>Date of Decision:</u> June 20, 2012

Vote: 0-4 (with 1 member abstaining)

Appeal #ZBA 2012-10 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on February 29, 2012. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After four hearings of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: July 3, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-10 Site: 16 Chester Street

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to add approximately 873 square feet of living area into the basement in order to convert the first floor studio apartment into a one-bedroom apartment. The basement was previously used as an illegal apartment but with this approval the square footage of this unit will be connected to a unit on the first floor. The total number of units at the site will be six. The conversion of the basement requires the installation of a window well for emergency egress from the basement bedroom. The window well will be located in the bay on the right side of the house.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1 & §9.13.a):

In order to grant a Special Permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied</u>: The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- Compliance with Standards: The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a Special Permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board findsthat the alterations proposed would be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. While the addition of a window well on the side of the property will be only slightly visible from the street and it will not negatively impact the appearance of the house, the Board had concerns about expansion of the living space into the basement. Specifically the Board had concerns about bedrooms and potential bedrooms in the basement.

In considering a Special Permit under §9.13 of the SZO the Applicant must be able to demonstrate that granting the requested Special Permit would not cause detriment to the surrounding neighborhood through any of the criteria as set forth under SZO §9.13, which are as follows:

- 1) increase in traffic volumes;
- 2) increased traffic congestion or queuing of vehicles;
- 3) change in the type(s) of traffic;
- 4) change in traffic patterns and access to the site;
- 5) reduction in on-street parking;
- 6) unsafe conflict of motor vehicle and pedestrian traffic.

The Board finds that there will be substantial impact on the surrounding neighborhood with regard to the above criteria. The Board also questioned the number of parking spaces that the Applicant was seeking relief for. The Board felt that the Applicant should really be seeking two spaces of parking relief because of the number of potential bedrooms in the basement.

3. Consistency with Purposes: The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under \$1.2, which includes, but is not limited to providing adequate light and air to the basement and encouraging the most appropriate use of land throughout the City.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district, in allowing for a change to a residential structure.





Date: July 3, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-10 Site: 16 Chester Street

Also, the Board finds that the proposal does <u>not</u> meet the intent of §9.1, the purpose of the Off-street Parking and Loading Article. Relief from providing one parking spaces will not create a situation that would be a nuisance or hazard to pedestrians and adjacent properties, and would decrease the traffic carrying capacity of the adjacent streets or significantly compromise the on-street parking available in Davis Square. Furthermore, the Board finds that the Applicant should be applying for more than one parking space of relief.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that adding living space to the basement will impact the design of the house beyond the addition of a window well design which calls for additional living space and bedrooms in the basement is not compatible with characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding neighborhood. The basement could still be used reasonably for storage and mechanical purposes and the Board finds that the proposed additional living space in the basement is out of context with other structures in the surrounding area.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Richard Rossetti seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **0-4** to **DENY** the request with Danielle Evans abstaining.





Date: July 3, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-10 Site: 16 Chester Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Evans
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	
1	Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's of Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed recorn SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twen City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.	nty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.
certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have electer and no appeal has been filed, or that if such a	ance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the apsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City ppeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner ficate of title.
bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twent	pecial permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision by days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the s been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the C	City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismisse	ed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the C	City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	City Clerk Date



under the permit may be ordered undone.

