

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

Orsola Susan Fontano, Chairman Richard Rossetti, Clerk Danielle Evans Elaine Severino Josh Safdie

ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick Street

Date of Decision: November 18, 2015

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: December 1, 2015

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Kevin Kee

Applicant Address: 33 Walnut Street, Unit #3, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Kevin Kee

Property Owner Address: 33 Walnut Street, Unit #3, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: Andrea Morton

Agent Address: 561 Windsor Street, Suite A404, Somerville, MA 02143

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Kevin Kee, seeks a Special Permit per SZO §4.4.1 to alter a

non-conforming structure by building a 3-story front porch within the

front yard setback.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 2

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:September 2, 2015Date(s) of Public Hearing:November 18, 2015Date of Decision:November 18, 2015

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2015-70 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Argenziano School cafeteria on November 18, 2015. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: December 1, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick Street

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal includes the removal of the existing entry portico and the construction of a three-story front porch. The 3rd story of the porch will not have a roof. The existing entry portico and the replacement front porches are all within the front yard setback, triggering the Special Permit.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

- 1. Information Supplied:
 - The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."
 - The structure is currently non-conforming with respect to the front yard setback. The RB zoning district requires a front yard setback of 15 feet (with a 10-foot minimum for features such as decks and unenclosed porches). The current setback is 2.5 feet. The applicant proposes retaining this 2.5-foot setback, slightly extending tits length and creating 3 stories of open front porches.
 - This intensification of the existing non-conformity requires the Applicant to obtain a Special Permit under §4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO).

Section 4.4.1 states that Lawfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of <u>Article 5</u>. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character.

- In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed to this legal triple-decker would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than those present on the existing structure. Many of the surrounding triple-deckers have open front porches significantly within the front yard setback.
- The addition of three stories of unenclosed porches is consistent with many of the other tripledecker buildings in the surrounding neighborhood as evidenced in the photo array supplied in the application. The proposed open porches are in keeping with the neighborhood character and, as proposed, will visually enhance the appearance of the building.
- 3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives



Page 3

Date: December 1, 2015

Case #: ZBA 2015-70

Site: 72 Dimick Street

applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

- The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to encourage housing for persons of all income levels.
- The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district.
- 4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."
 - The surrounding neighborhood is dominated by triple-decker 3-family residential structures with similar form and massing and many with three-story open front porches.
 - There are few to no impacts from the proposal. The proposed changes are compatible with the use, form, and
 massing of other triple-deckers in the immediate area. The addition of these three open front porches is a reasonable
 accommodation to make in order to provide building residents with additional outdoor space.
- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
 - The proposal will not impact the existing stock of affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.
 - The proposal will not contribute to the metrics of SomerVision but allow the property owner to make some modifications to their home.



Date: December 1, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick Street

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans, Elaine Severino and Josh Safdie. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is to construct open front porches on all three stories of a triple-decker. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/ Plng.	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	August 27, 2015	Initial application submitted to Planning Department			
	September 1, 2015	Modified plans submitted OSPCD			
	October 16, 2015	Final plans submitted to OSPCD			
	October 22, 2015	Certified site plan submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved plan that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				

Construction Impacts				
2	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	СО	DPW	
3	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Construction	T&P	
Design				
4	An exterior light and electrical receptacle is required for the first level of the porch and an electrical receptacle is required for the upper levels.	Final sign off	Wiring Insp	



Page 5

Date: December 1, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick Street

Miscellaneous				
5	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well-kept and in good and safe working order.	Cont.	ISD	
Public Safety				
6	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.	CO	FP	
Final Sign-Off				
7	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.	

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Richard Rossetti, <i>Clerk</i> Danielle Evans Elaine Severino Josh Safdie
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.



Date: December 1, 2015 Case #: ZBA 2015-70 Site: 72 Dimick Street

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the C	City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismisse	ed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the C	City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	City Clerk Date

