

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

**ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS** 

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)

POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2016-149
Site: 110 Josephine Avenue
Date of Decision: March 1, 2017
Decision: Patition Approved with Co.

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: March 3, 2017** 

## **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Genevieve Walsh

**Applicant Address:** 110 Josephine Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144

**Property Owner Name**: Genevieve Walsh

**Property Owner Address:** 110 Josephine Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant, Genevieve Walsh, and Owners, Glen Nuckolls & Genevieve

Walsh, seek Special Permits under §4.4.1 of the SZO to increase the FAR by finishing the basement and Article 9 for parking relief\*.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:December 15, 2016Date(s) of Public Hearing:2/15 & 3/1/17Date of Decision:March 1, 2017

Vote: 5-0

\* The bedroom count is not changing therefore no parking relief is needed.

\*\* This property is in Ward 6.

Appeal #ZBA 2016-149 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on February 15, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: March 3, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-149 Site: 110 Josephine Avenue

### **DESCRIPTION:**

The Applicant proposes to finish the basement, dividing its use between the two units. The proposed work would add approximately 1,000 square feet of living space to the structure.

#### **FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):**

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

## 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing one- and two-family dwellings which are used only as residence, which are non-conforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, expanded, renovated, or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5, when any such enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration increases the non-conforming nature of the structure or the Gross Floor Area of the dwelling is increased by more than twenty-five percent (25%)...The SPGA, as a condition of granting a special permit under this Section, must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing non-conforming structure."

The Applicant's proposal to finish the basement is the trigger for the Special Permit. The property is currently non-conforming with regard to Floor Area Ratio (FAR) at 1.01 in a zone (RA) where .75 is the maximum FAR allowed. The proposed work will add approximately 1,000 square feet of living space to the property. This will increase the FAR to 1.32.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal to finish the basement is consistent with the purpose of the RA zoning district which is, as defined under Article 6 of the SZO: "...to establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

Finishing the basement to increase the net living area is a reasonable manner by which a property owner can increase the livable space on their property, largely without impacting the exterior of the structure. With the exception of new basement windows and a window well required for emergency egress, the remainder of the alterations to the property for this project within the building itself.

The proposed changes to the property do not alter the property's use type nor the number of dwelling units located on the site. Neither site nor neighborhood density is increased through these changes. Since the



Page 3

Date: March 3, 2017

Case #:ZBA 2016-149

Site: 110 Josephine Avenue

number of dwelling units will not change and the bedroom count will remain the same, the Board finds that there should be no impact on vehicular traffic volume, type of pattern.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project ''(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

Josephine Avenue is replete with similarly sized and styled two-family structures all built roughly during the same period. The occasional three-unit (but not triple-decker), and single family is interspersed with the two-family structures. The majority of these residential structures present a gable-front to the street, a front porch and, occasionally, a second floor front deck. From just looking at these buildings, it is not possible to tell which of these structures possess finished basements. This underscores Staff's earlier contention that the major impact of finishing basements is largely internal to the structure only.

5. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.

#### **6.** SomerVision:

The proposal will upgrade two existing housing units.



Page 4

Date: March 3, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-149 Site: 110 Josephine Avenue

# **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans, Elaine Severino and Pooja Phaltankar with Josh Safdie and Anne Brockelman absent. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is to finish the basement.		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	December 15, 2017	Application submitted to City Clerk's office.			
	February 13, 2017	Additional plans submitted to OSPCD			
	February 17, 2017	Additional information submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval. Whether or not a change is <i>de minimis</i> in nature must be determined by the Planning Office.				
Des					
2	A window well shall be installed to serve as egress for the new basement bedroom.		СО	ISD	
Con	struction Impacts				
3	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and		СО	DPW	
4	driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.  All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P	
5	The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by.		During Construction	ISD/Plng.	
Public Safety					
6	The Applicant or Owner shall Bureau's requirements.	meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP	
7	All smoke detectors shall be h	ard-wired.	СО	Fire Prevention / ISD	



Page 5 Date: March 3, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-149

Site: 110 Josephine Avenue

Final Sign-Off					
		The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
		working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
	8	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
		constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
		submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 6

Date: March 3, 2017

Case #:ZBA 2016-149

Site: 110 Josephine Avenue

Attest	by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano.	Chairman
Aucsi,	by the Zohnig Board of Appears.	Orsola Susan Fontano,	Chairmar

Richard Rossetti, *Clerk*Danielle Evans
Elaine Severino
Pooja Phaltankar (Alt.)

Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:

Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision fried on	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, o	or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.	
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, o	or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed City	v Clerk - Date

