

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING SARAH LEWIS, SENIOR PLANNER SARAH WHITE, PLANNER & PRESERVATION PLANNER ALEX MELLO, PLANNER DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT Case #: ZBA 2016-139

**Date:** January 18, 2017 February 1, 2017 **Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

#### PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 19 Kent Court

Applicant Name: Eamon Fee

**Applicant Address:** 8 Richardson Street, Winchester, MA

01890

Owner Name: Gurmit Singh

Owner Address: 19 Kent Court, Somerville, MA 02143

Alderman: Maryann Heuston

**Legal Notice:** Applicant, Eamon Fee, and Owner, Gurmit Singh, seek Special Permits under §4.4.1 of the SZO to substantially alter a non-conforming property, a Variance under §5.5 of the SZO for parking relief, and §7.11 of the SZO to construct 4 units. RC zone. Ward 2.



Existing structure to be demolished at 19 Kent Court.

Dates of Public Hearing: January 18, 2017 February 1, 2017

The staff report has been updated. Items that no longer apply have been struck through. New information has been highlighted in yellow.

### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- **Subject Property:** The subject property is a 2.3-story 1,936 square foot, single-family residential structure situated on a 4,356 square foot lot in the RC zone.
- **Proposal:** The proposal is to substantially alter the single-family property by razing the existing structure and constructing a new, 4-unit structure by building off of 19 feet worth of an existing non-conforming along the left side setback. The proposal also consists of 1 parking space of relief. 4 bike



Page 2 of 8

Date: January 18, 2017 February 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2016-139

Site: 19 Kent Court

spaces will be provided. In their updated submission, the Applicant added the following summary of changes made to the proposal since the January 18, 2017 ZBA hearing:

- a. "We reduced the amount of units in the building from 4 to 3 units."
- b. "We reduced the overall length of the structure by 14 feet."
- c. "We reduced the ovrall mass of the structure by 6,300 cubic feet."
- d. "We reduced the parking required from four spaces to three spaces, and located all spaces on-site."
- e. "We located the front entrance to all three units on Kent Court for Fire Department easy access."
- **3. Green Building Practices:** See attached statement from Applicant at the end of this report.

#### 4. <u>Comments:</u>

Ward Alderman: Maryann Heuston has been made aware of this project.

*Historic Preservation*: The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) determined the existing property to be both historically significant and preferably preserved in 2016, imposing the 9-month demolition delay period in November, 2016. In the intervening weeks, the Applicant worked with preservation and planning staff on a design proposal for new construction on this site. At their December 20, 2016 meeting, the HPC voted to accept the design proposal and lift the demolition period. A Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) is to be signed between the HPC and the Applicant codifying the exterior design and material parameters of the new construction.

## II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1 & §7.11):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

#### 1. Information Supplied:

Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 and §7.11 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The existing structure is currently non-conforming with regard to frontage, lot size, and left side yard setback.

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than

Page 3 of 8 Date: <del>January 18, 2017</del> February 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court

the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, Staff finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the current conditions. The multi-family proposal is consistent with the RC district and the triple-decker form is consistent with a common Somerville building style. The volume and massing of the structure at this property will be increasing, but such an increase is not inconsistent with other structures found in the immediate area as well as with the new construction projects that have been proposed or approved on this street. Since the January 18, 2017 ZBA hearing, taking into consideration comments from the ZBA, the Applicant now proposes three units instead of four. Additionally, the Applicant has removed a rear extension to the building that was originally proposed to house the fouth unit. The reduction in unit number reduces the proposed density associated with the project and the removal of the rear extension reduces the proposed massing of the structure.

Despite the increase in building size, no new dimensional non-conformities are created: at 1.03, the FAR remains under the allowable limit of 1.0 2.0 for the RC zone, the front yard setback remains consistent and, despite the reduction in rear yard, the new setback complies with RC district requirements at 20 feet with the removal of the rear addition, the rear yard setback will be increased to 38.3 feet. Due to the flat roof design, the building height will be slightly lower than that of the existing structure. The height of the building will be 31.9 feet, well under the maximum of the 40-foot limit in the RC zone. The proposed landscaping plan will provide a much-needed facelift to the site's landscaping.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The current structure to be demolished is a single-family. In order to increase the number of units on the property, the Applicant must obtain a Special Permit from the ZBA to do so as per the SZO use table found in §7.11. The Applicant proposes increasing the number of units from one to three.

Both the increase in units and the extension of the existing non-conformities are consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes but are not limited to, promoting "the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to provide adequate light and air; to conserve the value of land and buildings."

The proposal to construct a multi-unit residential structure is consistent with the purpose of the RC district which is "to establish and preserve a district for multi-family residential and other compatible uses which are of particular use and convenience to the residents of the district."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project ''(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

Page 4 of 8 Date: <del>January 18, 2017</del> February 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court

Kent Court has undergone a significant amount of change in a scant few years. Several buildings (former workers' cottages) have been demolished to make way for a large single-family and a 5-plex. The 19 Kent Court proposal follows this trend. The proposed design of a triple decker is in keeping with other structures on the street, in the immediate neighborhood and throughout the City where this building form is ubiquitous. The differentiating factor is the inclusion of a fourth unit at the rear of the building. Though unusual, Staff finds that, being at the rear of the property, its existence would be minimally if at all visible to public view from the public street. The Applicant now proposes three units instead of four and the rear addition which was to house the fourth unit has been removed from the proposal.

7. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the City's stock of affordable housing.

8. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.

This project contributes to SomerVision metrics by adding three additional residential units to the City's housing stock.

## **III. FINDINGS FOR VARIANCE (SZO §5.5):**

A Variance (§5.5) is sought for relief for 1 parking space for the proposed new construction.

In order to grant a variance the Board must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.5.3 of the SZO.

1. There are "special circumstances relating to soil conditions, shape or topography of land or structures which especially affect such land or structures but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located, causing substantial hardship, financial or otherwise."

In the previous report, a mistake had been made in the parking calculation. The parking calculation has been explained and re-presented below. The end result is that the project would require 1.25 parking spaces. At one space per unit, the Applicant proposes 3 on-site parking spaces. This nullifies the need for a Variance or even a Special Permit for parking.

As opposed to a renovation project on a property, when new, ground-up construction occurs, the City requires that an Applicant receive a Variance for any parking relief needed. In the case of 19 Kent Court, this lot is long, but is quite narrow at 40.50 feet. Despite the increase in the total number of units, the total number of bedrooms on the property count – the driver for parking calculations – is only increasing by two (2) from current conditions (4). At four bedrooms, the current, single-family property has a parking requirement of four spaces two (2) spaces. The new structure, with two (2) bedrooms per unit, has a parking requirement of six (6). 4.5 spaces. The parking calculation is as follows:

Page 5 of 8

Date: January 18, 2017 February 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court

(new parking requirement – old parking requirement)  $x \cdot 5 = \#$  of new spaces required

thus:

 $(6-4) = 2 \times .5 = 1$  space of relief needed.

(4.5-2)=2.5

 $2.5 \times .5 = 1.25$  spaces of relief

As noted above, since the Applicant proposes to supply three on-site parking spaces, no parking relief is required.

2. "The variance requested is the minimum variance that will grant reasonable relief to the owner, and is necessary for a reasonable use of the building or land."

Staff finds that relief for one parking space a small amount of relief to request for this project. Two parking spaces are provided on site and the conditions require the Applicant to obtain off-site parking for future residents of the units with their use written into the condo docs.

3. "The granting of the variance would be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Ordinance and would not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare."

Particularly given the conditions established in item 2 above, Staff finds that this minimal parking relief would not be more detrimental to the neighborhood than the conditions already present on the street.

### III. RECOMMENDATION

Special Permits under §4.4.1 & §7.11 and a Variance under §5.5 of the SZO.

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMITS** and **VARIANCE**.

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition	Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
---	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------	--

Page 6 of 8

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court

	Approval is for the construction structure and 1 space of parking		BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	11/15/2016	Application and plans submitted to City Clerk's office			
Dra.	12/6/2016	Updated plan set submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to this Special reviewed by Planning Staff to changes are de minimis in na minimis, then additional SPO Construction	to determine whether the ature. If they are not <i>de</i>			
2	The Applicant must sign the M (MOA) with the Historic Pres register the signed MOA with Registry of Deeds.	ervation Commission and	BP	НРС	
3	The Applicant shall develop a consultation with the City of Services Division. Full compl procedures shall be required, i notification to abutters of dem rodent control measures (i.e. rof dust, noise, odor, and debri existing landscaping on adjace	Somerville Inspectional iance with proper demolition including timely advance solition date and timing, good odent baiting), minimization is outfall, and sensitivity to	Demolition Permitting	ISD	
4	The Applicant must contact the obtain street addresses for all permit being issued	e Engineering Department to	BP	Eng.	
5	The Applicant shall submit a pstamped by a registered PE in		BP	Eng.	
6	The Applicant must contact the coordinate the timeline for cut and/or sidewalk for utility con	te Engineering Department to string or opening the street enections or other orium on opening streets from I there is a list of streets that	ВР	Eng	
7	New sanitary connection flow removal of infiltration and/or will be achieved by submitting established by the City Engine on the cost per gallon of I/I to system and a removal ratio of removal or mitigation paymen Board of Aldermen prior to the Certificate of Occupancy, pay BOA rate. The Applicant shall meet this condition before a coissued.	s over 2,000 GPD require a inflow by the Applicant. This g a mitigation payment, eers Office, to the City based be removed from the sewer 4:1. If a different ratio of at amount is adopted by the e Applicant receiving a ment will be adjusted to the I work with Engineering and	ВР	Eng.	

Page 7 of 8

Date: January 18, 2017 February 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court

	Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding,	BP	Plng.	
8	trim, windows, and doors (to the Design Review Committee			
	for review and comment and) to Planning Staff for review			
~	and approval prior to construction.			
Con	struction Impacts	Las	I = ====	
	The Applicant shall, at their expense, replace any existing	CO	DPW	
	equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles,			
	signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel			
9	chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk			
	immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a			
	result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and			
	driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	Б.	TOP	
10	All construction materials and equipment must shall be	During	ISD	
	stored onsite.	Construction		
	The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the	During	Plng.	
11	general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to	Construction		
	people passing by.			
		Cont.	Plng.	Deed
				submitted
12	Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's			&
	right, title and interest in the property.			application
				form
				signed
Des		GO /F: 1	ICD /DI	1
13	The design and materials used in the new building shall	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
13	follow the MOA exactly.	sign off	Staff	
	Design and materials shall be as rendered on the submitted	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
14	plans.	sign off	Staff	
	Wood clapboarding/shingling or cementitious	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
1	clapboarding/shingling shall be installed. No vinyl,	sign off	Staff	
15	aluminum or pressure-treated wood shall be used.	sign on	Starr	
	Windows shall be one-over-one or two-over one double-	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
	hung. Window sashes shall be dark. Mullions (window	sign off	Staff	
1.0	grids) shall be dark and shall be applied to the glass. <u>No</u>			
16	between-glass grids shall be allowed. Dark spacers shall be			
	used between the glass. No reflective or tinted glass shall be			
	used.			
	Trim materials shall be of wood or a composite material	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
17	such as Azek, Fypon or similar. No vinyl, aluminum or	sign off	Staff	
•	pressure-treated wood shall be used such that it is visible.			
10	All venting shall be painted or covered to match the portion	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	1
18	of the building from which they exit.	sign off	Staff	
10	Air-conditioning condensers shall be screened with	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	1
19	vegetation. To the greatest extent possible.	sign off	Staff	
	Any fencing installed on the property shall be made of	CO / Final	ISD/Plng.	
20	wood and the style, height and design of such shall be	sign off	Staff	
20	reviewed and approved by planning staff prior to			
	installation.			
Site		1		
	Landscaping should be installed and maintained in	Perpetual	Plng. /	
22	compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association	1 -	ISD	
	Standards;			

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2016-139 Site: 19 Kent Court Page 8 of 8

	If stored outside, all trash and recycling receptacles shall be	Perpetual	Plng. /		
23		respetual	ISD		
	screened from the public way.	D 1 /	· · ·		
24	The driveway shall be made of permeable pavers.	Perpetual /	Plng. /		
		CO	ISD		
	The Applicant shall find off site parking spaces for each of	CO	Plng. /		
<del>25</del>	the units and their location and use shall be written into the		<del>ISD</del>		
	condo docs.				
Pub	lic Safety				
26	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP		
20	Bureau's requirements.				
27	All fire alarms shall be hard-wired.	CO	FP		
28	The building shall be sprinkled.	CO	FP		
	All exterior lighting shall be confined to the subject	CO	Plng.		
29	property, cast light downward and must not intrude,				
	interfere or spill onto neighboring properties.				
Mis	cellaneous	•	1		
	No Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued until the	CO	Housing		
	OSPCD Housing Division has confirmed that: (for				
	Condominium Projects) the Condominium Documents have				
• •	been approved and the Developer has agreed to a form of				
30	Deed Rider for the Affordable Unit(s), or (for Rental				
	Projects) the Developer has agreed to and executed a				
	Memorandum of Understanding for Monitoring of the				
	Affordable Unit(s).				
		CO	Lights &		
31	All utilities shall be buried.		Lines		
Fina	Final Sign-Off				
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.		
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off			
32	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was				
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information				
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.				