

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

### **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS**

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2016-07 Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

Date of Decision: February 17, 2016

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: February 23, 2016

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Jeff Kaufman

**Applicant Address:** 69 Morrison Avenue, #2, Somerville, MA 02144

**Property Owner Name**: Jeff Kaufman

**Property Owner Address:** 69 Morrison Avenue, #2, Somerville, MA 02144

**Agent Name**: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner Jeff Kauffman, is seeking a Special Permit to

modify a nonconforming Structure by increasing the FAR, and adding

dormers.

Zoning District/Ward:RA zone/Ward 5Date of Application:January 28, 2016Date(s) of Public Hearing:February 17, 2016Date of Decision:February 17, 2016

<u>Vote:</u> 4-0

Appeal #ZBA 2016-07 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Aldermanic Chambers on February 17, 2016. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: February 23, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-07 Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

# **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to add a shed dormer and a gabled dormer on either side of the structure, and to extend the rear gable by several feet. This would allow the second unit to add two additional bedrooms for a total of 5.

### **FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):**

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The proposal requires a Special Permit because the side yard setbacks are nonconforming, and the addition of dormers will constitute the modification of a nonconforming structure. The current setbacks are 11'-8' on the driveway side and 4' on the non-driveway side. In an RA zone the required setbacks for side yards are 8'.

Section 4.4.1 states that "lawfully existing one-and two-family dwellings which are only used as residences, which are nonconforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 or 4.5 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to: The purposes of the Ordinance are to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; to protect and promote a housing stock that can accommodate the diverse household sizes and life stages of Somerville residents at all income levels and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district, which is, "To establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

*Surrounding Neighborhood:* The surrounding neighborhood consists of a residential structures of a variety of architectural styles and building types.



Date: February 23, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-07 Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

*Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility):* The design of the proposal will have little to no impact on the neighborhood and is generally compatible with the surrounding structures.

5. <u>Adverse environmental impacts:</u> The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels now experienced from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

No adverse environmental impacts are anticipated with respect to items 1-4 listed above.

6. <u>Vehicular and pedestrian circulation:</u> The circulation patterns for motor vehicles and pedestrians which would result from the use or structure will not result in conditions that create traffic congestion or the potential for traffic accidents on the site or in the surrounding area.

No adverse impacts with respect to circulation are anticipated as described above.

- 6. <u>Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.</u>
- 7. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.
- 8. <u>Impact on Affordable Housing:</u> In conjunction with its decision to grant or deny a special permit for a structure of four or more units of housing, the SPGA shall make a finding and determination as to how implementation of the project would increase, decrease, or leave unchanged the number of units of rental and home ownership housing that are affordable to households with low or moderate incomes, as defined by HUD, for different sized households and units.

No impact on affordable housing is anticipated.



Date: February 23, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-07 Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

# **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans and Anne Brockelman with Elaine Severino and Josh Safdie absent. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Danielle Evans seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **4-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the Special Permit to modify a nonconforming structure. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	1/28/2016	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	1/28/2016	Plans submitted to OSPCD (1-12)			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations/use that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				
Con	nstruction Impacts	1.1. 1.04	ъ.	DI	1
2	The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by.		During Construction	Plng.	
3	Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property.		Cont.	Plng.	Deed submitted & applicatio n formed signed
4	The Applicant shall at their expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW	
5	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P	
Pub	olic Safety	(4 E' D :	0.0	ED	1
6	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.		СО	FP	
Final Sign-Off					



Page 5

Date: February 23, 2016
Case #:ZBA 2016-07

Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
7	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 6

Date: February 23, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-07 Site: 69 Morrison Avenue

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Richard Rossetti, <i>Clerk</i> Danielle Evans Anne Brockelman (Alt.)
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

# **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of t	ne City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dism	issed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of t	ne City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	•
Signed	City Clerk Date

