

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

**ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS** 

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)

POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2016-144 Site: 301 Summer Street

Date of Decision: February 1, 2017

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: February 14, 2017** 

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Susan Dunbar & Donald Knerr

**Applicant Address:** 301 Summer Street, Somerville, MA 02144

**Property Owner Name**: Susan Dunbar & Donald Knerr

**Property Owner Address:** 301 Summer Street, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicants & Owners, Susan Dunbar & Donald Knerr, seek a Special

Permit under §4.4.1 to alter a non-conforming property by enclosing a

back porch within the rear yard setback.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:December 1, 2016Date(s) of Public Hearing:February 1, 2017Date of Decision:February 1, 2017

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2016-144 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on February 1, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: February 14, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-144 Site: 301 Summer Street

#### **DESCRIPTION:**

The Applicants propose to enclose and existing, open rear porch in order to create pantry space for the second floor unit. The rear porch rests 11.9 feet from the rear property line in a zone where a 20-foot rear setback is required.

#### FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

## 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

In considering a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed – enclosing a rear porch within the rear yard setback - would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the conditions currently present on the existing structure. The surrounding neighborhood presents other rear porches that have been enclosed, thus the proposal is not inconsistent with similar conditions in the area.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal to enclose the rear porch at to 301 Summer Street is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "...to establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which ar both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

In considering a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO, the Board finds that, as noted earlier in this report, the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than conditions currently present on the existing structure. The enclosure of the rear porch is a reasonable accommodation to provide the



Page 3 Date: February 14, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-144

Site: 301 Summer Street

owners in order for them to achieve some additional conveniences – in this case the inclusion of a pantry – in their home.

## 5. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.

## 6. SomerVision:

The proposal does not have an impact on SomerVision.



Date: February 14, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-144 Site: 301 Summer Street

## **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans, Elaine Severino and Josh Safdie. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the enclosure of a rear porch.		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	December 1, 2016	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval. Whether or not a change is <i>de minimis</i> in nature must be determined by the Planning Office.				
Des	ign		T ==	T = 4 .	
2	The porch enclosure shall be clad cedar shingles.		СО	Planning Staff / ISD	
3	The windows install in the en reflective/mirrored quality no		CO	Planning Staff / ISD	
4	Windows shall be one-over-one to be in keeping with the other windows on the first and second stories of the house.		СО	Planning Staff / ISD	
5	Any changes to the design, style, massing, form, elements, and materials of the shed dormers shall be submitted to and approved by Planning Staff (or, as necessary, the ZBA) prior to their execution on the building.		СО	Planning Staff / ISD	
Cor	struction Impacts		I.	I.	
6	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW	
7	All construction materials and onsite. If occupancy of the str	d equipment must be stored eet layout is required, such mance with the requirements of ic Control Devices and the	During Construction	T&P	
Pub	olic Safety				
8	The Applicant or Owner shall Bureau's requirements.	meet the Fire Prevention	СО	FP	
9	All smoke detectors shall be hard-wired.		СО	Fire Prevention / ISD	
Fin	Final Sign-Off				



Page 5

Date: February 14, 2017

Case #:ZBA 2016-144

Site: 301 Summer Street

	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
10	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 6

Date: February 14, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2016-144 Site: 301 Summer Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Richard Rossetti, <i>Clerk</i> Danielle Evans Elaine Severino Josh Safdie
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed or	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office	of the City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally	ismissed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office	of the City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	City Clerk Date

