

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) **Case #:ZBA 2010-43 Site: 941 Broadway**

Date of Decision: September 15, 2010

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: September 23, 2010

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Gregory Yantz

Applicant Address: 941 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02144

Property Owner Name: Gregory Yantz

Property Owner Address: 941 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner, Gregory Yantz, seeks Special Permit under SZO

§4.4.1 for renovations including addition of a shed dormer to the 3rd

floor.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:August 4, 2010Date(s) of Public Hearing:September 15, 2010Date of Decision:September 15, 2010

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2010-43 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on September 15, 2010. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: September 23, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2010-43 Site: 941 Broadway

DESCRIPTION:

The applicant seeks to construct a bathroom on the third floor by building a shed dormer within the required sideyard. The proposed construction also includes renovations to the existing sunroom on the second floor.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, Board find that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. While Planning Staff normally does not encourage shed dormers, the Board finds the design acceptable as the dormer adheres to several of the dormer guidelines including setbacks from the roof apex and edges while providing a visually acceptable pitch and the inclusion of a window.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to providing for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City. The proposal is also consistent with the purpose of the district, which is "[t]o establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The style of the house would remain consistent with other structures on the street. The presence of shed dormers on other nearby homes makes it an acceptable form. The dormer is minimized in size so that it does not detract from the character of the structure. The impact on the structure's appearance from the street is minimal.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:





Date: September 23, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2010-43 Site: 941 Broadway

| # | Condition | | Timeframe for Compliance | Verified (initial) | Notes |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | Approval is for the construction of a shed dormer within the non-conforming right side-yard. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant: | | BP/CO | Plng. | |
| 1 | Date (Stamp Date) | Submission | | | |
| | (8/4/2010) | Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office | | | |
| | 8/10/2010 | Plans submitted to OSPCD (Floor plans and elevations, sheets A1 & A2) | | | |
| | Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval. | | | | |
| 2 | The Applicant or Owner shall meet all Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements. | | СО | FP | |
| 3 | The material and color of the dormer shall match the material and color of the remainder of the house | | СО | Planning | |
| 4 | The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval. | | Final sign off | Plng. | |





Date: September 23, 2010 Case #: ZBA 2010-43 Site: 941 Broadway

| Pereira |
|---------|
| ere |

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

| This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on | in the Office of the City Clerk, |
|--|----------------------------------|
| and twenty days have elapsed, and | |
| FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN | |
| there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City | Clerk, or |
| any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed of | or denied. |
| FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN | |
| there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City | Clerk, or |
| there has been an appeal filed. | |
| Signed_ | City Clerk Date |



