

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

### **MEMBERS**

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-33

Site: 48-50 Church Street Date of Decision: July 23, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> Date Filed with City Clerk: August 4, 2008

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Alexander Keyes

**Applicant Address:** 48-50 Church Street, Somerville, MA 02143

**Property Owner Name**: Alexander Keyes

**Property Owner Address:** 48-50 Church Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant & Owner: Alexander Keyes seeks Special Permit

approval under SZO §4.4.1 for the alteration of a non-

conforming structure in order to construct a two-story deck. RB

zone. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 2

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:
Date(s) of Public Hearing:
Date of Decision:
June 10, 2008
July 23, 2008
July 23, 2008

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-33 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on July 23, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

Date: July 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-33 Site: 48-50 Church Street

## **DESCRIPTION:**

The Applicant is seeking a special permit under SZO §4.4.1 to add second and third floor unenclosed wood decks extending from the rear of the structure. The decks would be 15 feet wide by 8 feet deep and extended 2 feet from the side of the house. The applicant is proposing latticework on the side of the second floor deck. Existing windows on the second and third floors will be reconstructed into doorways.

# **FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):**

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The Applicant requires a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO. Under §4.4.1, "the SPGA, as a condition of granting a special permit under this Section must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming structure."

The Board finds that the Applicant's proposal **would not be substantially more detrimental** to the surrounding neighborhood than the existing structure, as required under §4.4.1 of the SZO. The proposal is not more detrimental in visual effects or privacy concerns. Though allowed under the SZO, the deck will not be extending into any required set backs on the property.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal **is consistent** with the purposes set forth in Article 1 of the Zoning Ordinance, and with, to the extent possible for a lawful pre-existing nonconforming structure, those purposes established for the Residence B (RB) zoning district in which the property is located, namely "(t)o establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the proposal **is compatible** with the site and area. The deck and the modification of windows into doors would not be visually intrusive within the neighborhood.

Date: July 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-33 Site: 48-50 Church Street

# **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Danielle Fillis seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of second and third floor 15' wide by 8' deep decks. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or the Agent:		Building Permit	Plng.	
1	Date	Submission			
	June 31, 2008	Initial application, plot plan and elevation submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	Any non-di minimis changes to the approved site plan or elevation must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The color of the deck shall match that of the house.		CO	Plng.	
3	No future enclosure of the deck shall be allowed.		Cont.	Plng.	
4	No latticework on second floor deck shall be allowed.		CO	Plng.	
5	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final signoff on the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		СО	Plng. / ISD	

Date: July 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-33 Site: 48-50 Church Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino, (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira
	Dawn IVI. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance share certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal h recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indofrecord or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate or	fter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City as been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special p bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifica appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk,

City Clerk Date

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or

FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN

FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN

there has been an appeal filed.

Signed\_