

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MEMBERS

Herbert F. Foster, Jr., Chairman Orsola Susan Fontano, Clerk Richard Rossetti T. F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino (Alt.) Josh Safdie (Alt.) Case #: ZBA 2005-27-R1108

Site: 1 Davis Square

Date of Decision: December 17, 2008

Decision: <u>Revision Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: December 31, 2008**

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Davis Square, LLC

Applicant Address: 444 Somerville Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Davis Square, LLC

Property Owner Address: 444 Somerville Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: Adam Dash, Esq.

Agent Address: 48 Grove Street, Suite 304, Somerville, MA 02144

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant & Owner: Davis Sq., LLC seeks a revision to Special Permit

ZBA 2005-27a for a change in use to allow a commercial health and exercise facility to expand onto the third floor currently permitted for

non-medical office use. CBD zone. Ward 6.

Zoning District/Ward: CBD zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought: Revision to Special Permit# 2005-27

Date of Application:October 30, 2008Date(s) of Public Hearing:December 17, 2008Date of Decision:December 17, 2008

Vote: 4-0

Appeal #ZBA 2005-227-R1108 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on December 17, 2008. After one hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION/FINDINGS:

On November 2, 2005 the applicant was granted a special permit to alter and expand a non-conforming structure, a special permit with site plan review to establish a retail store of greater than 10,000 sf, a special permit with site plan review to establish a commercial health and fitness club of greater than 10,000 sf, a special permit for shared loading facilities and a variance for failure to provide twenty eight (28) additional parking spaces required for the expansion.

On March 15, 2006 the applicant was granted for a minor revision in order to incorporate a number of design changes to the original plans that were as a result of recommendations from the Design Review Committee as well as from conditions requested by the Planning Board and adopted into the final ZBA decision. Changes to the height of the building were also approved by the ZBA after preparations of the construction drawings showed the need for increased floor to ceiling height.

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2005-27-R1108 Site: 1 Davis Square

On July 11, 2007, the applicant received approval to modify the location of a sunshade.

The applicant is requesting this Special Permit Revision in order to allow a commercial health and exercise facility to expand onto the third floor.

The original ZBA decision approved a commercial health and fitness club of greater than 10,000 sf. However, that decision approved plans prepared showing office tenant space occupying the entire floor (A2.4). Consequently, the expansion of the health and fitness club requires ZBA approval. No additional parking is required.

The health and fitness club (Boston Sports Club), which currently occupies the second floor, wishes to expand their business into 3,016 gsf on the third floor of the building. The two floors would be connected with a 120 sf interior stairway. The health and fitness club would install by-right signage in several windows on the third floor that would match the existing second floor health and fitness club signage. The remaining third floor area would continue to be used as non-medical office.

The Board finds that the applicant has been unable to rent the third floor office space and that prolonged vacant floor space can have negative effects on a community. The expansion of the health and fitness club onto the third floor is found to be an appropriate use, and a good amenity for the neighborhood.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti and Elaine Severino. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Richard Rossetti seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **4-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

Cone	lition	Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
This approval is to allow a hea onto the third floor.	Ith and fitness club to expand	СО	ISD/ Plng.	
conforming structure, establish above 10,000sf, established a r allowed a shared loading facili				
Previous revisions allowed design, height and sunshade alterations.				
Approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or agent:				
Plan Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
7/21/05 (N/A)	Plans prepared by Paul Lukez Architecture (Pages A0.0-A2.3, A2.5)			
2/7/06 (OSPCD 3/13/06)	Elevations and drawings (A200, A201, A600)			
	This approval is to allow a hear onto the third floor. The previous approval allowed conforming structure, establish above 10,000sf, established a rallowed a shared loading facilit failure to provide 28 parking sp. Previous revisions allowed desalterations. Approval is based upon the fol and the plans submitted by the Plan Date (Stamp Date) 7/21/05 (N/A)	The previous approval allowed the alteration of a non-conforming structure, established a health and fitness club use above 10,000sf, established a retail use above 10,000sf, allowed a shared loading facility and granted a variance for failure to provide 28 parking spaces. Previous revisions allowed design, height and sunshade alterations. Approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or agent: Plan Date (Stamp Date) Submission Plans prepared by Paul Lukez Architecture (Pages A0.0-A2.3, A2.5) Elevations and drawings (A200, A201, A600) Elevation	This approval is to allow a health and fitness club to expand onto the third floor. The previous approval allowed the alteration of a nonconforming structure, established a health and fitness club use above 10,000sf, established a retail use above 10,000sf, allowed a shared loading facility and granted a variance for failure to provide 28 parking spaces. Previous revisions allowed design, height and sunshade alterations. Approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or agent: Plan Date (Stamp Date) Submission Plans prepared by Paul Lukez Architecture (Pages A0.0-A2.3, A2.5) Elevations and drawings (A200, A201, A600) Elevation	This approval is to allow a health and fitness club to expand onto the third floor. The previous approval allowed the alteration of a non-conforming structure, established a health and fitness club use above 10,000sf, established a retail use above 10,000sf, allowed a shared loading facility and granted a variance for failure to provide 28 parking spaces. Previous revisions allowed design, height and sunshade alterations. Approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or agent: Plan Date (Stamp Date) Plans prepared by Paul Lukez Architecture (Pages A0.0-A2.3, A2.5) Elevation Elevation Fleavation

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2005-27-R1108 Site: 1 Davis Square

		(SKA-56)			
	10/29/08	3rd floor expansion plan			
	(OSPCD 12/11/08)	31d floor expansion plan			
	Any changes to the approve not <i>de minimis</i> must receive changes to floor plans that do rincrease the required park approval.				
	These conditions replace all conditions attached to prior permits.				
2	All exterior lighting to be used on the building shall be subject to final review and approval by the City's Lights and Lines Inspector		Cont.	DPW	
3	An upgrade in the entire but systems to current code is rebe required to meet the NFP Prevention permits will be rapproves the granting of the building being completed in in accordance with the requirement and fire safety code, of Compliance being grante Occupancy Permit.	СО	FP		
4	The Applicant must continue to make the five (5) equal annual installments of the PMC (linkage) as provided in SZO §15.3. The PMC shall be calculated in accordance with the documented final area calculations obtained from the OSPCD Housing Director.		Cont.	Housing	
5	A designated trash storage building. All trash must be	room must be provided within the stored within the building until it ide pickup. Trash removal must ing area on Dover Street	Cont.	ISD	
6	A designated bicycle storag	e room must be located within the ailable to all employees of the	Cont.	ISD	
7	Since the Applicant is not site, the Applicant/or tenar Adopt-A-Spot scheme to h	proposing any landscaping at the ats must participate in the City's elp with the upkeep of the Davis cated directly opposite from the	Cont.	ISD	
8		e building shall be restricted to l related activities.	Cont.	ISD	
9	The Applicant shall contact working days in advance of the building permit to ensure	Planning Staff at least five a request for a final sign-off on e the proposal was constructed in nd information submitted and the	Final sign off	Planing	

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2005-27-R1108 Site: 1 Davis Square

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, Chairman Orsola Susan Fontano, Clerk Richard Rossetti Elaine Severino, (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative Assist	dant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the ZBA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance she certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal herecorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indofrecord or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pbearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will refunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismis FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the	e City Clerk, or essed or denied.

Signed City Clerk Date