

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

### ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA # 2010-77 Site: 22 Kent Street

Date of Decision: January 5, 2011

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: January 14, 2011** 

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Kesher School

**Applicant Address:** 22 Kent Street, Somerville, MA 02143

**Property Owner Name**: Tyr Realty Trust

**Property Owner Address:** 18 Sults Road, Belmont, MA 02478

Agent Name: John Bianchi

**Agent Address:** 31 Crestview Road, Needham, MA 02492

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant Kesher School and owner Tyr Realty Trust seek a special

permit under SZO §4.4.1 to lower the front entrance door approximately 14" on the building façade to accommodate handicap access at grade.

Zoning District/Ward: IA zone/Ward 2

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:November 24, 2010Date(s) of Public Hearing:January 5, 2011Date of Decision:January 5, 2011

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2010-77 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on January 5, 2011. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: January 11, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2010-77 Site: 22 Kent Street

## **DESCRIPTION:**

The Applicant is proposing to lower the front entrance door located on Garden Court approximately 14" on the building façade to accommodate handicap access to the building at grade on a non-conforming structure. Currently there is an awning above the doorway which will remain and inserted into the space above the new door location and the awning will be a 14" by 36" transom window. This will provide access, per the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board, to the area with the offices at the rear of the building. The applicant is seeking to convert this area into an after-school program (child care facility per SZO 7.11.5.A.3). The proposed use, and all other related construction conforms with the Somerville Zoning Ordinance.

# **FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):**

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board find that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to "promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers." The proposed project will create a handicap accessible egress to this portion of the building for the eventual day care center that will be located there.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district, which is, "To establish and preserve areas for industrial and related uses which are not incompatible with commercial uses; uses which are most appropriately located as neighbors of industrial uses including living and studio space for artists; and uses which are necessary to service the immediate needs of industrial establishments in those areas, and accessory uses to industrial uses such as day care centers, cafeterias, health facilities, and the like." The proposed use (a day care center) is an accessory use to the industrial uses.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The project is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area.





Date: January 11, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2010-77 Site: 22 Kent Street

# **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted 5-0 to APPROVE the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is to alter a non-conforming structure to lower the front entrance door approximately 14" on the building façade to accommodate handicap access at grade. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
	<b>Date (Stamp Date)</b>	Submission			
	(11/24/10)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	4/25/95 (11/24/10)	Plot Plan			
	11/12/10 (11/24/10)	Plans submitted with application (A-301.0)			
	Any changes to the approved not <i>de minimis</i> must receive S				
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was		Final sign off	Plng.	
	constructed in accordance wir submitted and the conditions				





Date: January 11, 2011 Case #: ZBA 2010-77 Site: 22 Kent Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Evans
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City	Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed of	or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City	Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed_	City Clerk Date



