

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-23

Site: 25 Kingston Street Date of Decision: June 18, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: June 27, 2008**

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Carrie Normand

Applicant Address: 25 Kingston Street, Somerville, MA 02144

Property Owner Name: Carrie Normand

Property Owner Address: 25 Kingston Street, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

Legal Notice: Applicant & Owner Carrie Normand seeks a special permit

§4.4.1 to extend a portion of the rear structure. RB zone.

Ward 7.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 7

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

<u>Date of Application:</u> May 15, 2008 <u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u> June 18, 2008 Date of Decision: June 18, 2008

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-23 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on June 18, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to construct two additions in the rear of the structure. One would be a one-story, 2 feet 4 inch by 12 feet 3 inch, extension of a mud room and the other would be a two-story, 6 feet by 11 feet 11 inch, extension of a dining room.

Date: June 24, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-23 Site: 25 Kingston Street

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1)

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

The Applicant has provided the required information.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The Applicant requires a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO. Under §4.4.1, "The SPGA, as a condition of granting a special permit under this Section much find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming structure."

The Board finds that the proposal would not be substantially more detrimental to the surrounding neighborhood than the existing structure. The alterations would not be expected to impact abutters.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal is consistent with the purposes set forth in Article 1 of the Zoning Ordinance, and with, to the extent possible for a lawful pre-existing nonconforming structure, those purposes established for the RB district in which the property is located, namely, "[t]o establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of the district."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the design of the proposed additions is compatible with the site and area.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Richard Rossetti seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

Date: June 24, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-23 Site: 25 Kingston Street

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of two additions in the of the structure (one-story 2 feet 4 inch by 12 feet 3 inch and two-story 6 feet by 11 feet 11 inch). This approval based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or its contractor:		Building Permit/ CO	Plng.	
1	Date	Submission			
	May 15, 2008	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The Applicant shall use uniform clapboard siding on the addition.		Final sign off	Plng.	
3	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on		Final sign off	Plng.	
	the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and				
	the conditions attached to this approval.				

Date: June 24, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-23 Site: 25 Kingston Street

City Clerk Date

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino, (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance sharest certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal h recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	fter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City as been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special p bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifica appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismis	City Clerk, or

FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN

there has been an appeal filed.

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or

Signed_