

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

### **MEMBERS**

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-26

Site: 48 Meacham Road Date of Decision: July 9, 2008

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: July 17, 2008** 

# ZBA DECISION

**Applicant Name**: Consuelo J. Perez

**Applicant Address:** 48 Meacham Road, Somerville, MA 02144

**Property Owner Name**: Consuelo J. Perez

**Property Owner Address:** 48 Meacham Road, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

**Legal Notice:** Applicant & Owner: Consuelo J. Perez seek a special permit

§4.4.1 to construct an approx 19 sf one-story addition to the rear of the structure and an approx 160 sf deck. RB zone.

Ward 6.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:June 9, 2008Date(s) of Public Hearing:July 9, 2008Date of Decision:July 9, 2008

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-26 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on July 9, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

## **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to construct an approximately 19 s.f. (3'7" x 5'3") one-story addition to the rear of the structure and an approximately 160 square foot deck. The addition would house a new laundry room,

Date: July 15, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-26 Site: 48 Meacham Road

extending an existing rear addition to align with the right-hand wall of the main structure (at the southwest corner of the building). The deck would also be L-shaped, extending into the panhandle, ranging from 5' in depth on the shortest side to 14'6" on the longest side; it would extend approximately 15' in width along the rear of the building, narrowing to 8' within the panhandle.

# FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit." To the extent possible for a nonconforming structure, the proposal complies with the standards of the zoning ordinance. The additional intrusion of the proposed laundry room into the side yard setback is minimal, whereas the deck is subject to less stringent setback requirements and its encroachment would be minimally impactful.
- 3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the purposes of the ordinance, including "to conserve the value of land and buildings".

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The addition would be in character with the existing structure and would facilitate the addition of attractive French doors on the rear, an improvement from the existing blank wall. Replacement of the rear concrete steps will likewise be an improvement of the property. The deck is complemented by a similar feature on the neighboring property. The impacts of the proposed changes appear to be minimal. Should there be any concerns about proximity of the decks to the property in the rear, privacy could be increased through the introduction of high, dense plant material. The Board recommends requiring that the existing trees along the rear and side property lines be retained and that the fence be removed, repaired, or replaced if it is within the Applicant's control.

# **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the alterations to the rear of the structure, including addition of a 19± s.f. laundry room and 160± s.f. deck. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or its contractor:		BP and CO	ISD and Plng.	
1	Date	Submission			
	June 9, 2008	Application and plans submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	Any changes to the approved site plans and elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The young trees along the rear property line and the hemlock trees along the side property line shall be preserved, or replaced with similar sized trees according to National Nurseryman's Standards if damaged during construction.		Perpetual	Plng. / ISD	
3	The Applicants shall remove, repair, or replace the fence on the right side property line if it is within their control to do so.		СО	Plng.	
4	Should the siding be asbestos shingles, the Applicant shall work with a licensed asbestos removal company to remove any shingles and submit documentation to the Somerville Board of Health.		Demolition	Board of Health / ISD	
5	The Applicant shall provide landscaping in the rear yard behind the deck.		Perpetual	Plng./ISD	
6	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		Final sign off	Plng.	

Date: July 15, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-26 Site: 48 Meacham Road

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino, (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day. City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shat certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal herecorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and independent of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	fter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City as been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special p bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and independent of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will refunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismiss FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the there has been an appeal filed.	City Clerk, or sed or denied.

City Clerk Date

Signed\_\_\_\_