

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-15 Site: 39R Medford Street Date of Decision: May 7, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> Date Filed with City Clerk: May 14, 2008

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: MetroPCS Massachusetts

Applicant Address: 285 Billerica Road, Chelmsford, MA 01849

Property Owner Name: Bryce Grere, Sure Lock Strategies

Property Owner Address: 357 Neck Road, N. Weymouth, MA 02191

Agent Name: Peter Cooke

Agent Address: P.O. Box 738, Westford, MA 01886

Legal Notice: Applicant: MetroPCS Massachusetts LLC & Owner: Bryce

Grere seek Special Permit Approval under SZO §7.11.15.1a for the Installation of a wireless communications facility. IP zone. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward:IP zone/Ward 2Zoning Approval Sought:\$7.11.15.1aDate of Application:March 28, 2008Date(s) of Public Hearing:May 7, 2008Date of Decision:May 7, 2008

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-15 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on May 7, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The applicant, MetroPCS, is proposing to install 1200 s.f. of wireless communications equipment in the interior of the existing warehouse. Tenant space would be retrofitted to allow for the proposed equipment. The applicant will be providing wireless service to Somerville through a third party antenna and fiber optic network and does not anticipate this facility needing traditional wireless antennas. Exterior changes would be the addition of an 5'5" high GPS antenna and two 4'3" HVAC condensers that would be mounted on the roof towards the rear of the building.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §7.11.15.1a):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The Applicant seeks a special permit under §7.11.15.3 of the SZO which requires the applicant to follow guidelines and procedures set forth in Article 14 for the, "regulation of wireless telecommunications facilities so as to allow and encourage such uses in the City with minimal harm to the public health, safety, and general welfare."

The Board finds that the proposal would not be substantially more detrimental to the area than the existing structure and minimal harm would be imposed upon the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhood. The Board finds that the proposed change would only affect about 1200 s.f. of the structure and the building would remain predominantly as the existing self-storage facility. Electrical Engineer and Radio Frequency Specialist, Kamal Johari, stated in a letter to the ZBA that the, "proposed facility complies with all applicable Federal and State standards, including those regarding radio-frequency emissions."

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal **is consistent** with the purposes set forth in Article 1 of the Zoning Ordinance; and, with those purposes established for the Industrial P (IP) zoning district in which the property is located, namely "(t)o provide opportunity for development in an environment free of excessive noise, odor, smoke, dust, glare, heat, visual disarray, or other nuisance." The majority of the operations will be located within the existing building and will be undetectable from the surrounding area.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds the project to be compatible with the surrounding area and land uses. The proposed use is a passive business use and keeps with the current office, manufacturing, and warehousing uses in the area. The component of the proposal that will affect the exterior of the building will be located on the roof of the structure. The two HVAC units will be located at least 12 feet away from the roof's edge and the GPS antenna will be located at least 40 feet away from the edge of the building. The Board finds the nature of these components and the distance from the roof's edge adequate for reducing the visual affects on the surrounding area.

5. Review Criteria for Telecommunications Facilities

In addition to those standards outlined in Section 5.1 for the granting of special permits, the SPGA shall consider the following factors in determining whether to issue a special permit for a telecommunications facility:

- a) *Height of proposed facility:* The existing building has two roof tops at different heights (48' and 38'). The proposed GPS antenna and AC units would be mounted on the lower rooftop. The GPS antenna will extend 5'5" and the AC units will extend 4'3" from the lower roof.
- b) *Proximity of facility to residential structures and residential zoning districts:* There is a Residence B zoning district and residential structures approximately 300' from the proposed location of the antennas and HVAC units.
- c) Nature of uses on adjacent and nearby properties: There are limited uses directly adjacent to the site including industrial office, office, industrial warehouse and commercial retail uses.
- d) Surrounding topography and prominence of proposed facility: The elevation of the area is low and the surrounding area is relatively flat, limiting the prominence of the facility. The proposed rooftop equipment is partially visible from only one vantage point and is not prominent from any public way.
- e) Surrounding tree cover and foliage: This area is largely devoid of any tree cover or natural features.
- f) Design of tower, with particular reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness, as specified in Section 14.3: Not applicable.
- g) Location of tower, with particular reference to the existence of more suitable locations, as specified in Section 14.3: The proposed location of the facility is an industrially zoned area and the siting of the antenna and HVAC units away from the building edges will work to conceal these components and limit their visual impact. The antenna is setback over 40 feet from the building edge.

- h) *Proposed ingress and egress:* Ingress and egress to the site will be at the existing curb cut for 39R Medford Street on Medford Street. Access to the equipment and rooftop components would be through the main entrance to the existing self-storage facility.
- *i)* Distance from existing facilities: (See figures B and C)
- j) Availability of suitable existing towers, poles, other structures, or alternative technologies, as discussed in Section 14.5.2: The attached report prepared by the applicant's professional radio frequency engineer indicates that this facility is to be used in conjunction with an alternative technology system proposed by a third party. The MetroPCS facility will be located within the existing industrial site and is therefore compliant with the provisions of Section 14.5.2.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted 5-0 to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the establishment of a 1200 s.f. wireless communications equipment facility. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or its contractor:		BP/CO	PLNG.	
1	Date	Submission			
	March 18, 2008	Initial application and materials submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	March 28, 2008	Equipment & Ladder Plans (A-3)			
	April 28, 2008	P.E. materials, Plans and Elevations (T-1, Z-1, Z-2, Z-3)			
	Any changes to the approved use, site plan and/or elevations must receive ZBA approval.				
	Compliance with Noise Control Ordinance. Prior to		CONT.	ISD	
	the issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy				
2	Permit for the installation of the wireless				
	telecommunications facility, the Applicant shall submit to the Inspectional Services Department, with a				
	copy to the Zoning Board of Appeals, a sound level				

	measurement certified as accurate by a professional			
	acoustician and shall perform such sound level			
	measurements six months after issuance of the			
	certificate of occupancy, with subsequent sound level			
	measurements annually on or before the anniversary			
	date of the original six month measurement to			
	document that all of the Applicant's installed			
	equipment complies and continues to comply with the			
	decibel level standards established by the City of			
	Somerville, Noise Control Ordinance. The Applicant			
	shall provide the results of such measurements and			
	certify that the facility complies with the decibel level			
	standards established by the City of Somerville, Noise			
	Control Ordinance, with a copy to the Zoning Board of			
	Appeals.			
	Compliance with Federal Communications	CONT.	BOH	
	Commission Guidelines for Human Exposure to			
	Electromagnetic Fields. To ensure compliance with			
	the standards established by the Federal			
	Communications Commission Office of Engineering			
	and Technology ("FCC") in OET Bulletin 65 as			
	adopted by Massachusetts Department of Public			
	Health under 105 CMR 122.021, the Applicant shall			
	perform measurements, within two (2) months of the			
3	date that the Applicant's wireless telecommunications			
	facility commences operation and at intervals of			
	twelve (12) months thereafter, to establish that the			
	Applicant's wireless telecommunications facility			
	complies and continues to comply with the FCC			
	guidelines and applicable state regulations for human			
	exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields.			
	The Applicant shall provide the results of such			
	measurements with certification of compliance to the			
	City of Somerville, Health Department, with a copy to			
	the Zoning Board of Appeals.	00175	IOD	
	Any antenna that is not operated continuously for a	CONT.	ISD	
	period of twelve (12) months shall be considered			
4	abandoned, and the owner of such antenna shall			
	remove the same within ninety (90) days of notice			
	from the City of Somerville informing the owner of			
	such abandonment.	00	EIDE	
5	The applicant shall install a manual fire alarm pull	CO	FIRE	
	station at the exit door in the equipment room (see			
	sheet number A-2) as well as the installation of smoke			
	detection devices and audio/visual horn strobe units in			
	the equipment room.	FINIAT	DLNG	
6	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	FINAL	PLNG.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final sign-	SIGN OFF		

off on the building permit to ensure the proposal was		
constructed in accordance with the plans and		
information submitted and the conditions attached to		
this approval.		

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino, (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	Dawn W. Telena
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance she certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal herecorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indofrecord or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will refunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner ate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on ______ in the Office of the City Clerk,

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.

_____ there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or

recorded.

and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN

FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN

____ there has been an appeal filed.