

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS

ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2007-61-R0308 Site: 100 Properzi Way

Date of Decision: April 16, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> Date Filed with City Clerk: April 30, 2008

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Moshe Safdie

Applicant Address: 100 Properzi Way, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Moshe Safdie

Property Owner Address: 100 Properzi Way, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: Paul Gross

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant & Owner Moshe Safdie seeks a Revision to Special

Permit #ZBA2007-61 to alter the exterior cladding in parts of the building, modify doors and windows at front portion of building, raise height of rear stair shaft, and add a roof deck. NB & RB

zones. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward: NB & RB zones/Ward 2

Zoning Approval Sought: Revision to Special Permit #2007-61

<u>Date of Application:</u>

<u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u>

Date of Decision:

November 15, 2007

April 16, 2008

April 16, 2008

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2007-61-R0308 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on April 16, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

Date: April 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2007-61-R0308 Site: 100 Properzi Way

DESCRIPTION/FINDINGS:

In January 2008 the applicant was granted a Special Permit under SZO §4.5.3 for the expansion of a non-conforming use to build a two-story front addition and a two-story rear addition with basement and mezzanine. The Applicant was also granted Special Permit approval under SZO §4.4.1 for the expansion of a nonconforming structure for the front addition to extend 14'1" into the required side yard.

The applicant is requesting this Special Permit Revision in order to:

1. Construct a rooftop deck

Staff finds that the rooftop deck would not be visible from the adjacent apartment building to the south as it would be screened by the mezzanine. Also, any concerns about noise or privacy should be limited due to the nature of the office use and the times of operation. Additionally, Moshe Safdie owns the building directly adjacent to the building on the side the deck is proposed.

2. Change the exterior material from brick to cedar shiplap siding on the elevator hoistway and stair shaft #2

Staff finds that new brick for the addition would be difficult to match with the old brick of the existing building. This material change would produce a clear distinction between the two areas and create a more visually appealing structure.

3. Raise the height of stair shaft #2 four feet

Staff finds this four foot increase would match the height of the elevator hoistway and create a more balanced and visually appealing structure. No impact from this revision is anticipated.

4. Change the front door from a single leaf glass with sidelight to a double leaf glass with no sidelight

The applicant has decided not to proceed with the front addition. This change will be made to the existing façade. Staff finds that this alteration would not change the size of the opening and would maintain the symmetry of the façade.

5. Add an exterior wood slat screen to the south side of the mezzanine addition

Staff finds this to have a positive effect by screening the glass mezzanine level from the neighbors in the adjacent apartment building to the south.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Acting Chairperson, Richard Rossetti, Acting Clerk, Danielle Fillis, Scott Darling and Elaine Severino. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted 5-0 to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

Date: April 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2007-61-R0308 Site: 100 Properzi Way

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	The applicant has received approval to expand a non-conforming use to build a two-story rear addition with basement and mezzanine. This approval is to further permit a roof deck, raise the height of a stairwell, change the materials of the stair and elevator shaft, change front door to double door and install a window screen on the south side of the mezzanine curtain wall. This is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the applicant and/or contractor:		BP/ CO	ISD/ Plng.	
1	Date	Submission			
	November 15, 2007	Initial application and plans submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	January 4, 2008	Parking Memo			
	April 9, 2008	Plans submitted to the City Clerks office (A0.1, A0.2, A1.0, A1.1, A1.2, A1.3, A1.4, A3.0, A3.1)			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The applicant shall place appropriate signage on the driveway to alert drivers to potential oncoming vehicles.		СО	Plng.	
3	The ivy on the façade, the magnolia tree in the front yard and the bushes along the base of the façade shall be retained.		СО	Plng.	
4	The new parking lot shall be screened from Skehan Street and surrounding residential with new landscaping and fencing (chain link fence should be removed and replaced if possible) pursuant to §10.5 screening requirements. Landscaping shall be installed to National Nurserymen's standards.		СО	Plng.	
5	Fire Prevention shall conduct an on-site inspection of both 100 and 92 Properzi Way		СО	FP	
6	The Applicant shall contact working days in advance of on the building permit to enconstructed in accordance winformation submitted and this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.		

Date: April 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2007-61-R0308 Site: 100 Properzi Way

Date_____

City Clerk

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, Acting Chairperson Richard Rossetti, Acting Clerk Danielle Fillis T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Elaine Severino, (Alt.)		
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	e Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira		
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of t SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	ne		
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE			
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty decity Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appear recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and it of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificated.	40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10. shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the dafter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is ndexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner		
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty date Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and it of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certification appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will under the permit may be ordered undone.	ys have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the en filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner leate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly		
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence recorded.	with any project favorably decided upon by this decision,		
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and EOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	in the Office of the City Clerk,		

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.

_____ there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or

FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN

there has been an appeal filed.

Signed_____