

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION
STAFF
GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING
LORI MASSA, SENIOR PLANNER
ADAM DUCHESNEAU, PLANNER
DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

**Case #:** ZBA 2012-03 **Date:** February 9, 2012

**Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

SOMERVILLE

# PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 23 Rush Street

**Applicant Name:** Electra Realty Corporation

Applicant Address: 215 Washington Street, Somerville, MA 02143

**Property Owner Name:** Electra Realty Corporation

Property Owner Address: 215 Washington Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Alderman: William M. Roche, Ward 1

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant and Owner, Electra Realty Corporation, seeks a Special Permit to alter a nonconforming structure under SZO §4.4.1 to make façade alterations including creating new window and door openings.

Zoning District/Ward: RB/1

Zoning Approval Sought: Special Permit SZO §4.4.1

Date of Application: January 17, 2012

<u>Dates of Public Hearing:</u> Zoning Board of Appeals – **February 15, 2012** 

#### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property consists of a 3,390 square foot lot on the corner of Brook and Rush Streets with no existing landscaping due to the one-story concrete building that composes 3,358 square feet of the lot. The main entrance is currently located on Brook Street. The parcel abuts residential buildings on both the northeastern side, along Rush Street, and the northwestern side, along Brook Street. The building was constructed in the 1930s for use as a machine shop manufacturing auto parts with 18 employees operating from 7 AM to 6 PM. There has been no prior zoning relief given to this property.
- 2. <u>Proposal:</u> The Applicant, Electra Realty Corporation, would like to relocate to 23 Rush Street and utilize the entire building to manufacture building parts and furniture by the means of woodworking tools. This proposed use falls into the same use category (7.11.14.B.1.a. General Industrial) as



Page 2 of 7

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street

the auto parts manufacturing use and therefore no Special Permit is required to establish this particular use in this space. The Applicant will be retaining three employees and is proposing to operate from 7 AM to 6 PM. As part of establishing this new use, the Applicant is also proposing to make alterations to multiple façades of the building. Changes to the primary façade along Brook Street would reopen two original window openings that are currently masonry filled and located on either side of the main entry. The main entry would also be enlarged back to its previous size between the two brick piers. Both the previous door and window openings are clearly visible and the new door and window units would conform to the prior openings. The window openings are 6 feet in width by 6 feet and 8 inches in height. The masonry filled main entry opening is approximately 12 feet wide and 10 feet high and would be replaced with double doors surrounded by transoms on both sides and overhead. A secondary entrance, located on the left side of the façade, will be given a replacement door.

Alterations to the northwestern elevation would reopen four existing masonry filled windows located on the left side of the façade. These openings are also clearly visible and the new units would conform to the current openings which are 4 feet in width by 5 feet and 4 inches in height. Alterations to the southeastern elevation (the Rush Street elevation) would reopen two masonry filled openings and create a secondary egress door on the right side of the elevation. The window openings would support windows that conform to the previous openings, 6 feet and 8 inches in both width and height. The secondary egress would be consistent with the width and height of one main entry door on the Brook Street façade and include both a side and overhead transom. The existing overhead door on this façade would also be retained. These changes would allow for more ventilation and provide natural light into the interior of the space. There are no changes proposed for the rear of the structure.

- 3. <u>Nature of Application:</u> This property contains an industrial building that is located within a Residence B (RB) district. The structure is nonconforming with regard to the maximum permitted ground coverage, landscaped area, and the front, left side, right side, and rear yard setbacks. The lot size and existing use at the property (a machine shop manufacturing auto parts) are also existing nonconformities. Due to the structure's dimensional nonconformities listed above, the Applicant requires a Special Permit under SZO §4.4.1 to alter a nonconforming structure to reopen masonry filled windows on three elevations, enlarge the opening for the main entry, and create a new secondary egress door.
- 4. <u>Surrounding Neighborhood:</u> The subject property is located in a Residence B district in East Somerville near Cross Street and Broadway. The immediate surrounding neighborhood is comprised of a mixture of single- and multi-family dwellings that are predominantly two stories in height. Many of these houses also have significant rear additions. Nearby, Broadway hosts some mixed-use and commercial buildings while Cross Street primarily hosts residential buildings along with two schools, the Edgerly School and the East Somerville Community School.
- 5. <u>Impacts of Proposal:</u> There shall be minimum impacts to the neighborhood as the proposed window and door alterations do not appear detrimental to the immediate abutters or the surrounding area. Although these building alterations will be visible on their three respective elevations, the Applicant essentially proposes to restore the structure back to the original fenestration which will grant more character to the building and better complement the surrounding streetscape. The addition of a secondary egress door on the southeastern elevation supports the safety of the structure's employees. Additionally, these changes would allow more ventilation and natural light into the structure, creating a better work environment for employees.

Reopening the four windows on the northwestern elevation will face an abutting property on Brook Street. Although the subject building is situated close to the lot line, a driveway is located immediately adjacent on the abutter's property, separating the two buildings. The addition of more windows on both

Page 3 of 7

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street

the Brook and Rush street façades will enhance the streetscape by making it more pedestrian friendly and better suited to the surrounding neighborhood. Reopening these windows on the streetscape façades will make for a more interesting pedestrian environment for those passing by the building. The property will remain a single story building with an industrial use and, while the use is not consistent with the surrounding neighborhood, the proposed building alterations will largely improve the streetscape. Therefore, there are no anticipated negative impacts from the proposal.

6. <u>Green Building Practices:</u> The Applicant states that there will be an exploration in the use of low emission concrete.





Top: 23 Rush Street, southeastern and southwestern façades; primary façade is located along Brook Street.

Left: 23 Rush Street, northwestern façade illustrating four masonry filled windows that are adjacent to an abutting driveway.

# 7. Comments:

*Fire Prevention*: Fire Prevention indicated in an email to Planning Staff on Tuesday, January 31, 2012, that the following would be required: "Installation of a Code compliant fire alarm system, Installation of a Code compliant fire sprinkler system, central station monitoring, Knox lock box installed."

Page 4 of 7

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street

Ward Alderman: Alderman Roche has been contacted but has not yet provided comments.

### II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1, §5.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, Staff finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. Although these building alterations will be visible on their three respective elevations, the Applicant essentially proposes to restore the structure back to the original fenestration which will grant more character to the building and better complement the surrounding streetscape. These changes would allow more ventilation and natural light into the structure, creating a better work environment for employees. The addition of more windows on both the Brook and Rush street façades will enhance the streetscape by making it more pedestrian friendly and better suited to the surrounding neighborhood.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to "promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to provide adequate light and air; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality."

The purpose of the RB District (6.1.2. RB – Residence Districts) is, "To establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts." Although the use of the structure is not consistent with the purpose of an RB District, the building itself and the use are existing nonconformities and the proposed window and door alterations do not appear to be detrimental to the immediate abutters or the surrounding neighborhood.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The project is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area. The Applicant is proposing to reopen masonry filled windows on three façades, enlarge the opening for the main entry and replace the secondary entrance door (both located along Brook Street), and create a new secondary egress door along Rush Street. Although these building alterations will be visible on their three respective elevations, the Applicant essentially proposes to restore the building back to the original fenestration which will grant more character to the structure and better complement the surrounding streetscape. These changes would allow

Page 5 of 7

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street

more ventilation and natural light into the structure, creating a better work environment for employees. The addition of more windows on both the Brook and Rush street façades will enhance the streetscape by making it more pedestrian friendly and better suited to the surrounding neighborhood. The property will remain a single story building with an industrial use and, while the use is not consistent with the surrounding neighborhood, the proposed alterations will help to improve the streetscape.

5. <u>Adverse Environmental Impacts:</u> The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels now experienced from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

No adverse environmental impacts are anticipated from this project. No new noise, glare, smoke, vibration, nor emissions of noxious materials nor pollution of water ways or ground water nor transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception are anticipated as part of the proposal. The building will remain a nonconforming single-story industrial structure continuing the same nonconforming use.

## III. RECOMMENDATION

### Special Permit under §4.4.1, §5.1

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT.** 

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
1	Approval is to alter a nonconforming structure under SZO §4.4.1 to make façade alterations including creating new window and door openings. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
	<b>Date (Stamp Date)</b>	Submission			
	(January 17, 2012)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	November 21, 2011 (January 25, 2012)	Plot Plan			
	(January 25, 2012)	ZBA Exterior Opening Modifications (A-1, A- 2, and A-3)			
	Any changes to the approved site plan, elevations, or use that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				
2	The Applicant or Owner shall ensure a code compliant fire alarm system, a code compliant fire sprinkler system, a central station monitoring, and Knox lock box are installed at the property.		СО	FP	
3	The Applicant shall at their expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW	
4	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	Т&Р	
5	The Applicant shall contact working days in advance of inspection by Inspectional proposal was constructed in and information submitted to this approval.	Final Sign Off	Plng.		

Page 7 of 7

Date: February 9, 2012 Case #: ZBA 2012-03 Site: 23 Rush Street



23 Rush Street