

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING LORI MASSA, SENIOR PLANNER SARAH WHITE, PRESERVATION PLANNER ETHAN LAY-SLEEPER, PLANNER DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT Case #: ZBA 2016-28 Date: April 20, 2016

Recommendation: Conditional Approval

PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 120 Central Street

Applicant Name: John Murphy

Applicant Address: 69 Columbus Ave., Somerville,

MA 02143

Owner Name: 120 Central Street, LLC

Owner Address: 69 Columbus Ave., Somerville, MA

02143

Alderman: Mark Niedergang

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, John Murphy, and Owner, 120 Central Street, LLC, seek a Special Permit under SZO

§4.4.1 to alter a non-conforming structure by changing the existing hip roof at the rear of the property to a Mansard, add a bedroom and bath to one of the units and add rear decks to the second and third floor. RA zone. Ward 5.

<u>Dates of Public Hearing:</u> Zoning Board of Appeals – April 20, 2016

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. **Subject Property:** The subject property is a c. 1870 Mansard that sits on a 9,148 square foot lot in the RA district.
- 2. <u>Proposal:</u> The proposal includes raising the roofline of the existing two-story rear addition and creating a Mansard roofline. The Applicant also proposes to add a deck to the second and third stories in the rear. These decks will be built on top of an existing rear addition.
- 3. **Green Building Practices**: None specified.





Date: April 6, 2016 Case #: ZBA 2016-28 Site: 120 Central Street

4. <u>Comments:</u>

Ward Alderman: Mark Niedergang has been notified of this project.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

- Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permit.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."
 - Alterations to 3-story structures (a Mansard of this nature is considered a 3-story structure by Somerville zoning and the Planning Department) automatically require a Special Permit. Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant is sufficient to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the proposed project.

Section 4.4.1 states that Lawfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of <u>Article 5</u>. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character.

- The structure at 120 Central is a legally-existing 3-story structure in an RA district. It is also a legally-existing 3 family conversion. In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, Staff finds that the alterations proposed to this building would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than those present on the existing structure.
- The addition of the rear porches is consistent with other similar structures in the surrounding neighborhood.
- The raising of the roofline on the existing rear addition and creating a Mansard roofline will make the rear addition more harmonious with the architectural lines of the existing structure.
- 3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and

Page 3 of 5

Date: April 6, 2016 Case #: ZBA 2016-28 Site: 120 Central Street

specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

- The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to encourage housing for persons of all income levels.
- The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district.
- 4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project ''(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."
 - The surrounding neighborhood is a mix of two and three-family houses. The property is directly across the street from the large, Rogers Foam industrial building.
 - There are few to no impacts from the proposal. The proposed changes are compatible with the use, form, and massing of other structures in the area. The addition of the two rear decks are consistent with the neighborhood and provide two of the units with additional outdoor space. The reconstruction of the rear addition roofline to form a Mansard roof provides more living space for the 3rd story unit. This alteration also provides a better architectural link between the original front Mansard portion of the structure and the rear addition.
- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
 - The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.
- 7. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.
 - The proposal will not contribute to the metrics of SomerVision but allow the property owner to make some modifications the property.

III. RECOMMENDATION

Special Permit under §4.4.1

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT.**

Page 4 of 5

Date: April 6, 2016 Case #: ZBA 2016-28 Site: 120 Central Street

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
1	Approval is to construct two rear decks and add a Mansard roof to the rear addition.		BP/CO	ISD/ Plng.		
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission				
	March 1, 2016	Initial application submitted to Planning Department				
	April 5, 2016	Modified plans submitted OSPCD				
	Any changes to the approved plan that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.					
Cor	struction Impacts					
201	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing		СО	DPW		
2	equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles,					
	signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel					
	chair ramps, granite curbing, etc.) and the entire sidewalk					
	immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a					
	result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and					
	driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.					
3	All construction materials and equipment must be stored		During	T&P		
	onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such		Construction			
	occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of					
	the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must					
	be obtained.					
Mis	Miscellaneous					
14119	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be		Cont.	ISD		
4	responsible for maintenance of both the building and all on-					
	site amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting,					
	parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are					
	clean, well-kept and in good and safe working order.					
5	The property shall never house more than 3 residential			Τ		
	units.					
Pub	ublic Safety					
6	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.		CO	FP		
Fins	Final Sign-Off					
7	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five		Final sign	Plng.		
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection		off	6.		
	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was					
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information					
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.					

Page 5 of 5

Date: April 6, 2016
Case #: ZBA 2016-28
Site: 120 Central Street