

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, *DIRECTOR OF PLANNING*SARAH LEWIS, *SENIOR PLANNER*SARAH WHITE, *PLANNER / PRESERVATION PLANNER*ALEX MELLO, *PLANNER*DAWN PEREIRA, *ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT*

Case #: ZBA 2016-140 Date: January 18, 2017

Recommendation: Conditional Approval

PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 20-24 Rush Street

Applicant Name: Juniper Point Investments, LLC Applicant Address: 91 Bridge Street, Salem, MA 01970 Owner Name: Juniper Point, 20-24 Rush St., LLC Owner Address: 91 Bridge Street, Salem, MA 01970

Alderman: Matthew McLaughlin

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicants, Juniper Point and 20-24 Rush Street, LLC, and Owner, Juniper Point Investments Co., LLC seek Special Permits under §4.4.1 of the SZO to significantly alter a non-conforming property; SPSR under §7.11 of the SZO to increase the number of units from 9 to 10; §7.3 of the SZO to add an affordable unit; Article 9 of the SZO for parking relief.

Dates of Public Hearing: Zoning Board of Appeals – January 18, 2017

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property suffered a fire in the spring of 2016 resulting in the total loss of the 9-unit building. Though in the RB zone, the 9-unit use was a pre-existing legal use of the property. The lot, now devoid of the building, is 4,437 square feet and retains the foundation of the lost building.
- **Proposal:** Normally, under such circumstances, a property owner retains the right to rebuild the structure to the exact dimensions, style and number of units for two years after the date of the fire. In the case of 20-24 Rush Street, the new owner proposes to construct a 10-unit building, making one of the units affordable. This additional unit triggers the need for a special permit. The proposal requests relief for 16 parking spaces and proposes to provide 2 bike spaces.

3. Green Building Practices: The proposal states that it will meet or exceed stretch code.

4. <u>Comments:</u>

Ward Alderman: Has been provided a copy of this report.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMITS (SZO §5.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

- Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

Regarding Article 4 of the SZO

- §4.3 of the SZO states that a lawfully-existing building with a lawfully-existing, non-conforming use may retain that non-conforming use provided that the use continue on the lot on which that use was originally located. The Applicant proposes to retain the legally-existing, non-conforming use on the same lot, with the non-conforming use being a multi-unit residential dwelling in a zone where 3 dwelling units per lot is the maximum allowed without special relief.
- §4.4.1 of the SZO states that legally-existing non-conforming structures other than one-and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by Special Permit. With regard to 20-24 Rush Street, the building, prior to the fire, was a legally-existing, non-conforming 9-unit structure located in a zone where 3-unit dwellings are allowed per lot without zoning relief. The Applicant proposes to retain some of the existing non-conformities, principally the left side yard setback currently measuring 3.5 feet from the property line. The Applicant will build off of this non-conformity when constructing the new residential building.

The Floor Area Ration (FAR) of the pre-fire structure was 1.28 in a zone where 1.0 is the allowed. The Applicant proposes to increase the FAR to 1.87, and increase caused, in part, by expansion into the basement area.

At 4,457 square feet in a zone where a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet is required, this lot is undersized. The lot area per dwelling unit ratio was 493 prior to the fire, significantly under the required 875 sq. ft. per d.u. The Applicant proposes to extend this existing non-conformity. The inclusion of a 10th unit further reduces this ratio to 443 sq. ft. per d.u.

• §4.5.3 of the SZO allows for the expansion of non-conforming uses through the Special Permit process. The currently non-conforming use is a multi-unit residential structure in a

zone where 3-unit residential dwellings are allowed. The Applicant proposes intensifying this non-conforming use by one (1) unit for a total of 10 units in the structure. The additional unit will be an affordable unit with the actual unit and the rate at which that unit will be offered being determined by the Housing Division and not the Planning Division.

- With regard to the extension of the non-conformities noted above, Staff finds that such intensifications would not be substantially more detrimental to the site or surrounding neighborhood. The increase in the number of dwelling units (§4.5.3(b)) should have minimum to no impact on traffic volume, congestion or type of traffic. The traffic will continue to be residential in nature and flow in the same direction as current. 20-24 Rush Street is also within easy walking distance of Broadway, a major thoroughfare in the City that is well-serviced by public transportation.
- Although the FAR is seeing a substantial increase (1.28 to 1.87), a large portion of this increase will be from activating the basement level. With so much of the FAR increase occurring within the confines of the building, and not through added height or further encroachments into the setbacks which would have a more significant visual impact on the surrounding neighborhood from the additional massing, Staff finds that the increase in FAR would not be more detrimental to the site or surrounding neighborhood. This overall increase in FAR also allows for the inclusion of an affordable unit, a proposal that Staff finds to be beneficial to the City's overall inclusionary housing numbers and, more directly, to the economic welfare of some of the City's inhabitants.
- As part of their proposal, the Applicant proposes to reduce some of the other non-conformities. For example, the original rear yard setback was 8 inches from the property line. Under the new proposal, the rear yard setback will be 6 feet from the property line. Moreover, the pervious area will be increased from +/- 33% to +/- 40%.

Regarding Article 9 of the SZO

- Under normal circumstances, new construction requires that Applicants obtain a Variance for parking relief. However, in this situation, the Applicant is proposing an affordable unit as part of the project. When affordable units are involved in such projects, parking relief becomes a Special Permit threshold. Prior to the fire, according to the application, no on-site parking spaces had been provided. The Applicant does not propose any on-site parking under the new proposal, either. Existing records have made it impossible for Staff to determine what the old parking requirement for the property would have been as no records have been found detailing the bedroom count in the original building. The relief sought by the Applicant would be for 16 parking spaces. This number is derived from the total proposed bedroom count of the proposed building.
- Given that, pre-fire, the building contained 9 residential units of varying bedroom counts, coupled with the previously-noted proximity of this lot to Broadway and public transportation, Staff supports the request for parking relief as presented.

Regarding Article 7 of the SZO

• According to §7.11of the SZO, in the RB zone, only one-, two- and three-family structures are allowed unless a there is a legally-existing, non-conforming number of units on a lot. 20-24 Rush Street presented such legally-existing non-conformities with 9 units in the pre-fire structure. The

Page 4 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

Applicant proposes to increase the number of units from 9 to 10. One of the units in the building will be affordable. The Housing Division will determine which unit will be affordable and at what rate. Staff finds that increasing the number of units from 9 to 10 will not be more injurious to the site or surrounding neighborhood than the conditions presented by the previous 9-unit building. This new building will be new construction from the ground-up, fully suppressed and will be a visual improvement for the neighborhood in comparison to the previous structure. The addition of another affordable unit to the City's inclusionary housing numbers complies with SomerVision goals.

III. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW (SZO §5.2.5):

In order to grant a special permit with site plan review, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.2.5 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.2.5 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

- Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.2.3 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit with site plan review."
 - Staff finds that the proposal conforms to the requirements set out in §5.2.3 of the SZO.
- 3. <u>Purpose of District:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with the intent of the specific zoning district as specified in Article 6."
 - The purpose of the RB zoning district is "to establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two-, and three-family homes, free from other uses expect those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents o such districts." The proposed project is inconsistent with the purpose of the RB zone. However, because the pre-existing, non-conforming residential building that was destroyed by fire was a multi-unit structure, the Applicant may retain that non-conformity by-right for a period of two years after such a disaster. As long as the Applicant re-built the structure with the same number of units on the same footprint, the Applicant would be able to do so by-right. Because the Applicant has modified some setbacks (though such modifications have improved the pre-existing dimensional non-conformities) and because the Applicant is requesting to increase the number of units from 9 to 10, the Special Permit with Site Plan Review (SPSR) is triggered.

Staff finds that, given the prior non-conforming status, the improvements made to some of the non-conforming dimensionals (see discussion of Special Permits in Section II) and the benefit that the community receives through inclusionary housing, the Applicant's proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RB district.

Page 5 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that that is compatible with the existing natural features of the site and is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area, and that the scale, massing and detailing of the buildings are compatible with those prevalent in the surrounding area."

Surrounding neighborhood:

• The house that was destroyed by fire at 20-24 Rush street was a multi-family double Mansard. The lot for 20-24 Rush street sits on the corner of the street where it intersects with Brooks Street. The surrounding neighborhood presents numerous 2 ½-story, wood frame residential structures, with gabled ends facing the street. A few multi-units, Mansards and Queen Anne-style structures also dot the street. Directly across the street from 20-24 Rush is a large, single-story, cement brick commercial structure that appears to have been boarded up.

Impacts of Proposal (Design & Compatibility):

- The Applicant does not propose to duplicate the Mansard form of the house lost to the fire, but a more modern interpretation of this roof style. The proposed structure, though stylistically different from its neighbors is not necessarily inconsistent with the the overall form, shape and massing of other structures on the street and the immediate surrounding area. The proposed structure will not be as tall as the three-family behind it on Brooks Street. The proposed structure will not include rear decks and stairs as did the previous structure. This element of the proposed design opens up the rear of the lot and provides more access to green space than did the pre-fire conditions on the lot.
 - 1. Maintain a strong building presence along the primary street edge, continuing the established streetwall across the front of the site so as to retain the streetscape continuity; however, yards and setbacks as required by Article 8 shall be maintained.

The new building maintains a similar size, form and massing to the pre-fire structure. The main entries to the building are found prominently along Rush Street. A pergola is proposed above the entrances which helps to visually break up the verticality of the building. The Applicant will be maintaining or improving upon the setbacks, as noted in earlier sections of this report, under the proposal.

2. Differentiate building entrances from the rest of the primary street elevation, preferably by recessing the entry from the plan of the streetwall or by some other articulation of the elevation at the entrance.

The left-most entry on the front elevation is clearly recessed into the building from the front façade. The two more prominent front doors along the front elevation are not recessed into the building. However, as noted in item 1 above, a pergola is proposed above these two front doors. This architectural element helps to visually break up the verticality of the building and draws the public's attention to the two entrances.

3. Make use of typical bay widths, rhythms and dimensions prevalent in buildings adjacent to the site, especially in new construction or substantial redevelopment.

The bay widths vary across the front elevation, but create a consistent visual rhythm. The change that Staff recommends is on the second elevation: two windows should appear symmetrically above the two first floor windows.

4. Clearly define these bay widths, rhythms and dimensions, making them understandable through material patterns, articulations and modulations of the facades, mullion design and treatment, etc.

The bays are defined by vertical elements running from below the third floor soffit down to grade. Clearly-expressed corner boards define the bays on the right and left portions of the front elevation. Gabled dormers present vertical elements on the clapboarding and windows throughout the structure present two-over-two mullioned lites.

5. Provide roof types and slopes similar to those of existing buildings in the area.

As noted previously, the Applicant does not propose to duplicate the Mansard roofline of the pre-fire structure. The new proposal does present a modern, modified roofline looking toward the Mansard style.

6. Use materials and colors consistent with those dominant in the area or, in the case of a rehabilitation or addition, consistent with the architectural style and period of the existin building. Use of brick masonry is encouraged, but not considered mandatory.

The new structure is required, in the Conditions of this report, to apply brick facing to the foundation and use would or a cementitious product simulating wood for the clapboarding of the building. Wood or composite materials will be required for trim, steps, decks, landings, etc. Applicants are now required to present all materials, finishes, colors and the like to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.

7. When parking lots are provided between buildings, abutting the primary street and breaking the streetwall, provide a strong design element to continue the stretwall definition across the site, such as a low brick wall, iron works or railing, trees, etc.

There is no parking lot associated with this project.

8. Locate transformers, heating and cooling systems, antennae, and the like, so they are not visible from the street; this may be accomplished, for example, by placing them behind the building, within enclosures, behind screening, etc.

Cooling units are currently proposed on the roof on the rear elevation. Should any transformers or other mechanical equipment be required on the exterior of the building, their location and screening shall first be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff.

9. Sites and buildings should comply with any guidelines set forth in Article 6 of this Ordinance for the specific base or overlay zoning district(s) the site is located within.

There are no overlay districts affecting this locus.

Page 7 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

<u>6. Impact on Public Systems:</u> The project will "not create adverse impacts on the public services and facilities serving the development, such as the sanitary sewer system, thestorm drainage system, the public water supply, the recreational system, the street system for vehicular traffic, and the sidewalks and footpaths for pedestrian traffic.

Staff finds that the proposed project will not create adverse impacts on these services and facilities. The proposal allows for automobile, public transportation and pedestrian use by virtue of its location. Through the addition of one more unit to the site, Staff does not find that there would be any significant up-tick in vehicular traffic. Given the area Staff finds that numerous future residents of this site will rely on public transportation, bike and ambulatory means of transit.

7. Environmental Impacts: "The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust smoke, or vibrations which are higher than levels now experiences from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of waterways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

Staff finds that the new structure will not have any adverse impact on any of the above. There will be the usual noises and dust generated from the construction process, but the impact of such has been addressed in the Conditions accompanying any Special Permits the ZBA grants for this project.

8. Consistency with Purposes: "Is consistent with: 1) the purposes of this Ordinance, particularly those set forth in Article 1 and Article 5; and 2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit with site plan review which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those at the beginning of the various sections."

Staff finds that the proposal is consistent with the purpose of this Ordinance which is to , among other purposes, "...promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; [and] to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City..."

9. Preservation of Landform and Open Space: The Applicant has to ensure that "the existing land form is preserved in its natural state, insofar as practicable, by minimizing the grading and the erosion or stripping of steep slopes, and by maintaining man-made features that enhance the land form, such as stone walls, with minimal alteration or disruption. In addition, all open spaces should be designed and planted to enhance the attractiveness of the neighborhood. Whenever possible, the development parcel should be laid out so that some of the landscaped areas are visible to the neighborhood."

The parcel in question has been a flat parcel since before the fire which destroyed the original building in the spring of 2016. The Applicant's proposal retains this same land form. A Condition of any approval granted to this Applicant requires that a full landscape plan be submitted to, reviewed and approved by staff prior to the issuance of a building permit.

The Applicant has included more open space and landscape-able area on his plan than previously existed on the property. Moreover, by not providing on-site parking, the Applicant is able to leave more open green space for future residents to enjoy.

Page 8 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

10. Relation of Buildings to Environment: The Applicant must ensure that "buildings are: 1) located harmoniously with the land form, vegetation, and other natural features of the site; 2) compatible in scale, design and use with those buildings and designs which are visually related to the development site; 3) effectively located for solar and wind orientation for energy conservation; and 4) advantageously located for views from the building while minimizing the intrusion on views from other buildings."

The parcel in question is flat and the new structure will be built largely on the same footprint as the pre-fire residential building, but with improvements made to height and most setbacks. A landscaping plan is yet to be submitted but, given that there was very little open space on the property and, what there was was devoid of trees and ornamental flora, any landscaping proposal approved by Staff has the potential to greatly improve this site. The residential units, particularly those on the third floor, provide some views of the surrounding neighborhood.

11. Stormwater Drainage: The Applicant must demonstrate that "special attention has been given to propert site surface drainage so that removal of surface waters will not adversely affect neighboring properties or the public storm drainage system. Storm water shall be removed from all roofs, canopies, and powered areas, and routed through a well-engineered system designed with appropriate storm water management techniques. Skimming devices, oil, and grease traps, and similar facilities at the collection or discharge points for paved surface runoff should be used, to retain oils, greases, and particles. Surface water on all paved areas shall be collected and/or routed so that it will not obstruct the flow of vehicles or pedestrian traffic and will not create puddles in the paved area. In larger developments, where practical, the routing of runoff through street flow, swales or other means increasing filtration and percolation is strongly encourages, as is use of retention or detention ponds. In instances of below grade parking (such as garages) or low lying areas prone to flooding, installation of pumps or other devices to prevent backflow through drains or catch basins may be required.

An engineering plan will need to be submitted for the Engineering Department to review. These plans will need to be reviewed before a building permit is issued.

12. Enhancement of Appearance: The Applicant must demonstrate that "the natural character and appearance of the City is enhances. Awareness of the existence of a development, particularly a non-residential development or a higher density residential development, should be minimized by screening views of the development from nearby streets, residential neighborhoods or City property by the effective use of existing land forms, or alterations thereto, such as berms, and by existing begetation or supplemental planting.

Staff finds that given the nature of the proposal and the analyses provided earlier in this report, the proposed structure will enhance the character of the City, particularly in this area.

13. <u>Lighting</u>: With respect to lighting, the Applicant must ensure that "all exterior spaces and interior public and semi-public spaces shall be adequately lit and designed as much as possible to allow for surveillance by neighbors and passersby."

In addition to this criteria, Staff has conditioned this project such that all exterior lighting shall be downcast and shall not spill onto neighboring properties in any way.

Page 9 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

14. <u>Emergency Access</u>: The Applicant must ensure that "there is easy access to buildings, and the grounds adjoining them, for operations by fire, police, medical and other emergency personnel and equipment."

Prior to the issuance of a building permit, Fire Prevention will review and sign off on this proposal.

15. <u>Location of Access</u>: The Applicant must ensure that "the location of intersections of access drives with the City arterial or collector streets minimizes traffic congestion."

The proposed building, as with the pre-fire building, is located on a corner lot and there are no access drives to the property. There is, however, directly fire access from Brooks Street and Rush Street.

16. <u>Utility Service</u>: The Applicant must ensure that "electric, telephone, cable TV and other such lines and equipment are placed underground from the source or connection, or are effectively screened from public view."

Lights and Lines will review these plans prior to the issuance of a building permit, but all utility lines required to be placed underground for new construction.

17. <u>Signage:</u> The Applicant must ensure that "the size, location, design, color, texture, lighting and materials of all permanent signs and outdoor advertising structures or features shall reflect the scale and character of the proposed buildings."

There is no signage proposed for this project.

18. Screening of Service Facilities: The Applicant must ensure that "exposed transformers and other machinery, storage, service, and truck loading areas, dumpsters, utility buildings, and similar structures shall be effectively screened by plantings or other screening methods so that they are not directly visible from either the proposed development or the surrounding properties.

There are no such facilities or structures proposed for this project at this time. Should that change, a full review of such proposed structures or facilities shall be conducted by the Planning Division.

19. Screening of Parking:

There is no on-site parking proposed for this project.

20. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal would add one unit to Somerville's affordable housing stock.

- <u>6.</u> <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan.
 - The proposal will add to SomerVision by visually improving the property for the surrounding neighborhood and adding an affordable unit to the City's housing stock.

III. RECOMMENDATION

Page 10 of 13

Date: January 18, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2015-140 Site: 20-24 Rush Street

Special Permits under §4.4.1, Article 7 and Article 9 of the SZO

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMITS and SPECIAL PERMIT WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW.**

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

			Case #: ZBA 2015-140		
#	Condition		Timeframe for	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is to construct a 10-unit residential building in the RB zone with parking relief and one affordable unit.		BP/CO	ISD/ Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	November 15, 2016	Initial application submitted to OSPCD			
1	December 28, 2016	Updated plans submitted to OSPCD			
	January 11, 2017	Final Plans submitted to OSPCD			
	ANY changes to the approved plans must first be submitted to the Planning Division to determine whether or not they are <i>de minimis</i> in nature or whether they require review by the SPGA.				
Aff	ordable Housing / Linkage	pt /	D.D.		1
2	Affordable Housing Impleme approved by the OSPCD Hou prior to issuance of Building be provided on-site.	sing Division and executed	BP	Housing	
3	Written certification of the creation of affordable housing units, any fractional payment required, or alternative methods of compliance, must be obtained from the OSPCD Housing Division before the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (C.O.). No C.O. shall be issued until the OSPCD Housing Division has confirmed that the Affordable Housing Restriction has been approved and recorded and the developer has provided the promised affordable units on-site.		СО	ISD/Plng	
4	No Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued until the OSPCD Housing Division has confirmed that: (for Condominium Projects) the Condominium Documents have been approved and the Developer has agreed to a form of Deed Rider for the Affordable Unit(s), or (for Rental Projects) the Developer has agreed to and executed a Memorandum of Understanding for Monitoring of the Affordable Unit(s).		СО	ISD/Plng/ Housing	
5	a rental unit is going to be ren project, it must comply with O Ordinances Chapter 7, Article Conversion and Removal of F	ental units from the market: If noved as a result of this City of Somerville Code of e IV, Condominium Rental Units prior to issuance ing Permit shall be issued until	BP	Housing	
6	Linkage payments will be req Somerville Housing Trust Fun		BP	ISD/Plng/ Housing	
7	Engineering plans shall be sul Division for review and appro- building permit.		BP	Engineerin g/ISD	
8		and approve all utility-related	BP	Lights and	

9	The Applicant shall complete the Site Plan Review Checklist and supply the information to the Engineering Office. The plans must comply with the City's Stormwater Management Policy.	BP	Engineerin g/ISD	
10	New sanitary connection flows over 2,000 GPD require a removal of infiltration and/or inflow by the Applicant. This will be achieved by submitting a mitigation payment, established by the City Engineers Office, to the City based on the cost per gallon of I/I to be removed from the sewer system and a removal ratio of 4:1. If a different ratio of removal or mitigation payment amount is adopted by the Board of Aldermen prior to the Applicant receiving a Certificate of Occupancy, payment will be adjusted to the BOA rate. The Applicant shall work with Engineering and meet this condition before a certificate of occupancy is issued.	СО	Eng./ISD	
11	The Applicant shall submit a proposed drainage report, stamped by a registered PE in Massachusetts that demonstrates compliance with the City's stormwater policy.	BP	Eng./ISD	
12	The Applicant must contact the Engineering Department to coordinate the timeline for cutting or opening the street and/or sidewalk for utility connections or other construction. There is a moratorium on opening streets from November 1st to April 1st and there is a list of streets that have additional opening restrictions.	ВР	Eng./ISD	
13	The Applicant shall submit ALL material samples to Planning Staff for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit	BP	ISD/Plng	
14	A landscaping plan shall be submitted to Planning Staff for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	ISD/Plng	
Desi	ign & Materials			
15	All windows shall be two-over-two with a dark sash, dark spacers and dark grids (mullions) applied onto the glass, not between the glass. Windows shall not be tinted and shall not have any mirrored or other reflective qualities.	CO/Perpetual	ISD/Plng	
16	On the second floor, two windows shall appear symmetrically above the two first floor windows on the front elevation.	СО	ISD/Plng	
17	Siding shall be wood or cementitious siding. No vinyl or aluminum shall be used.	СО	ISD/Plng	
18	All trim, decking, and decorative architectural features shall be made of wood or composite material.	СО	ISD/Plng	
19	No pressure-treated wood shall be allowed on this project.	СО	ISD/Plng	СО
20	The foundation shall be clad in brick.	СО	ISD/Plng	СО

No vinyl shall be used for trim, siding, steps, decks, railings or the like.	CO	ISD/Plng	СО
Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must provide corrected architectural plans for pages Z1.2 and A2.4 that depict a roofline without the protruding towner/cupola-style tops.	СО	ISD/Plng	СО
All vents and related exhaust pipes shall be wrapped or painted in a color matching the portion of the building to which they are attached or from which they exit.	СО	ISD/Plng	СО
A 3.5-foot fence shall be installed along both the Rush Street and Brooks Street property lines. A 6-foot fence shall be installed along the rear property line. The design and materials of the fence shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff PRIOR TO its installation.	СО	ISD/Plng	
		I	-1
Construction shall take place weekdays during the hours of 7:30am – 5:00pm. There shall be no weekend construction-related work.	During Construction	ISD	
The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by.	During Construction	ISD	
Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property.	Perpetual		
The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	СО	ISD	
All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Construction	ISD/T&P	
The Applicant shall submit a traffic and parking mitigation plan to address traffic and parking during the construction phase of the project. This plan is to be submitted to the Traffic and Parking Division for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	T&P / ISD	
E			
All lights shall be downcast and shall not cast light onto abutting properties in any way.			
al Sign-Off	1	I	1
The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five (5) working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was	Final sign off	ISD/Plng.	
	or the like. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must provide corrected architectural plans for pages Z1.2 and A2.4 that depict a roofline without the protruding towner/cupola-style tops. All vents and related exhaust pipes shall be wrapped or painted in a color matching the portion of the building to which they are attached or from which they exit. A 3.5-foot fence shall be installed along both the Rush Street and Brooks Street property lines. A 6-foot fence shall be installed along the rear property line. The design and materials of the fence shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff PRIOR TO its installation. struction Impacts Construction shall take place weekdays during the hours of 7:30am – 5:00pm. There shall be no weekend construction-related work. The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by. Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property. The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard. All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained. The Applicant shall submit a traffic and parking mitigation plan to address traffic and parking Division for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.	or the like. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must provide corrected architectural plans for pages Z1.2 and A2.4 that depict a roofline without the protruding towner/cupola-style tops. All vents and related exhaust pipes shall be wrapped or painted in a color matching the portion of the building to which they are attached or from which they exit. A 3.5-foot fence shall be installed along both the Rush Street and Brooks Street property lines. A 6-foot fence shall be installed along the rear property line. The design and materials of the fence shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff PRIOR TO its installation. Struction Impacts Construction shall take place weekdays during the hours of 7:30am – 5:00pm. There shall be no weekend construction-related work. The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by. Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property. The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abuting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard. All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained. The Applicant shall submit a traffic and parking mitigation plan to address traffic and parking Division for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit. E All lights shall be downcast and shall not cast light onto abutting properties in any way.	Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must provide corrected architectural plans for pages Z1.2 and A2.4 that depict a roofline without the protruding towner/eupola-style tops. All vents and related exhaust pipes shall be wrapped or painted in a color matching the portion of the building to which they are attached or from which they exit. A 3.5-foot fence shall be installed along both the Rush Street and Brooks Street property lines. A 6-foot fence shall be installed along the rear property line. The design and materials of the fence shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Staff PRIOR TO its installation. Struction Impacts Construction shall take place weekdays during the hours of 7.30am – 5.00pm. There shall be no weekend construction-related work. The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by. Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property. The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granute curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abuting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard. All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained. The Applicant shall submit a traffic and parking during the construction phase of the project. This plan is to be submitted to the Traffic and Parking Division for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit. E All lights shall b